DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES
ADMINISTRATION FOR CHILDREN AND FAMILIES
ADMINISTRATION ON CHILDREN, YOUTH AND FAMILIES
CHILDREN’S BUREAU

WAIVER AUTHORITY

STATE: HAWAI‘I

Waivers of the following provisions of the Social Security Act and Program Regulations are provided to the State to operate a child welfare demonstration project:

Section 472 (a): **Expanded Eligibility:** To allow the State to expend title IV-E funds for children and families who are not normally eligible under Part E of title IV of the Act as described in the Terms and Conditions.

Section 474(a)(1): **Expanded Claiming:** To allow the State to claim at the Federal medical assistance percentage any allowable expenditures of foster care maintenance payment cost savings.

Section 474(a)(3)(E) and 45 CFR 1356.60(c)(3): **Expanded Services:** To allow the State to make payments for services that will be provided that are not normally covered under Part E of title IV of the Act; and to allow the State to use title IV-E funds for these costs and services as described in the Terms and Conditions, Section 2.0.

All waivers are granted only to the extent necessary to accomplish the project as described in these Terms and Conditions.
DEMONSTRATION PROJECT TERMS AND CONDITIONS

HAWAI‘I

SECTION 1: GENERAL

1.0 The Department of Health and Human Services (hereinafter referred to as “the Department”) will grant waivers to the State of Hawai‘i (hereinafter referred to as “the State”) under Section 1130 of the Social Security Act (hereinafter referred to as “the Act”) to operate a demonstration project (hereinafter referred to as “the demonstration”) as set forth in these Demonstration Project Terms and Conditions. The Department reserves the right, in its sole discretion, to withdraw approval of this demonstration project, including withdrawal of any and all waivers granted by the Department at such time(s) that the Department determines that the State has materially failed to meet the requirements as set forth in these Demonstration Project Terms and Conditions. The State also retains the right to terminate the demonstration.

1.1 Failure to operate the demonstration as approved and according to Federal and State statutes and regulations will result in withdrawal of approval of this demonstration project. The Federal statutes and regulations with which the State must comply in the operation of the demonstration include civil rights statutes and regulations that prohibit discrimination on the basis of race, color, national origin, disability, sex, age, and religion, including title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, the Age Discrimination Act of 1975, title II of the Americans with Disabilities Act, the nondiscrimination provisions of the Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1981, and the Multiethnic Placement Act as amended. After the demonstration is approved, the Department reserves the right to withdraw approval if agreement cannot be reached on any item(s) cited in this document as needing approval by the Department. The State also has the same right.

1.2 The Department may terminate the State’s authority to conduct a demonstration project if, after the three-year period following approval of these Terms and Conditions, the State has not made significant progress in implementing the child welfare program improvement policies proposed by the State in its application to conduct a demonstration, and as described in section 1130(a)(3)(C) of the Act (see also Section 2.3).

1.3 If Federal or State statutes or regulations that would have a major effect on the design and impacts of this demonstration are enacted, or if any deficiencies or serious problems in implementation of these Terms and Conditions are noted by the Department, the Department and the State will reassess the overall demonstration and develop a mutually agreed-upon strategy for dealing with the demonstration in the context of such changes. If such a mutually agreed-upon strategy cannot be developed, the Department reserves the right, in its sole discretion, to withdraw approval at such time(s) as the Department determines.
1.4 All provisions of the Act not waived remain in effect.

1.5 The demonstration provisions will be implemented no earlier than April 1, 2014 and no later than October 1, 2014. The implementation date of the demonstration shall be the first day on which the State offers services, subsidies, or other supports under the provisions of these Demonstration Project Terms and Conditions to participating children, caregivers, or other targeted individuals. For cost-neutrality purposes, the demonstration shall be deemed to begin on the first day of the calendar quarter (hereinafter "quarter"), which includes the implementation date, but for the purpose of calculating costs for the initial quarter of the demonstration, only costs incurred beginning with the month that includes the implementation date will be counted. The implementation date must occur within the first month of a calendar quarter. The demonstration shall end no later than the last day of the 20th quarter ending after the deemed beginning date. The demonstration provisions shall be as specified in Sections 2 and 3. Waivers necessary for the demonstration are approved upon acceptance by the Department and the State of these Demonstration Project Terms and Conditions. They will become effective as of the implementation date and will remain in effect until the last day of the 20th quarter ending after the deemed beginning date, unless the demonstration is terminated earlier. The State's project demonstration period may not exceed a maximum of five total years under the provisions of the Act, unless in the judgment of the Secretary, the demonstration project shall be allowed to continue.

1.6 Federal approval of these Demonstration Project Terms and Conditions shall not be construed to establish any precedent that the Department will follow in the approval of any subsequent request for Terms and Conditions.

1.7 Nothing in these Terms and Conditions shall create eligibility for any child or family for any Federal program or entitlement for which that child or family would not otherwise be entitled, except that title IV-E funds may be expended, according to the provisions of these Terms and Conditions, for persons who would not be eligible for title IV-E funds in the absence of the demonstration. Receipt of services otherwise reimbursable under title XIX but provided under these Terms and Conditions for children and families who are not eligible under title IV-E does not establish eligibility for Medicaid. Children under this demonstration who are determined to be eligible under title IV-E will retain that eligibility through the duration of the demonstration project, so that such children will remain eligible for Medicaid, title IV-E Adoption Assistance, title IV-E Foster Care, and title IV-E Guardianship Assistance Program, if applicable.
SECTION 2: IMPLEMENTATION

2.0 Under these Demonstration Project Terms and Conditions, the State is authorized to implement an enhanced crisis response system and intensive home-based services to better prevent children from entering foster care unnecessarily, and expanded services and assessments for youth to expedite permanency and increase placement stability. The demonstration will seek to accomplish the following goal(s):

- Increase permanency for all infants, children, and youth by reducing the time in foster placements when possible and promoting a successful transition to adulthood for older youth.

- Increase positive outcomes for infants, children, youth, and families in their homes and communities, including tribal communities, and improve the safety and well-being of infants, children, and youth.

- Prevent child abuse and neglect and the re-entry of infants, children, and youth into foster care.

The demonstration’s target populations will include the following:

- **Short Stayers:** Families who come to the attention of Child Welfare Services (CWS) through a hospital referral or police protective custody and who are likely to be placed into care for fewer than 30 days.

- **Long Stayers:** Title IV-E eligible and ineligible children and youth who have been in foster care for 60 days or longer. A priority sub-population will be children who have been in care for nine months or longer.

The demonstration will be implemented on the islands of O‘ahu and Hawai‘i. Details regarding the timing and/or phases of implementation for each component will be provided in the State’s Initial Design and Implementation Report (Section 2.4). Upon consultation and approval of the Department, the State may choose to expand the demonstration to additional islands provided the intended outcomes are being achieved, the demonstration is being implemented as intended, and cost neutrality is being maintained.

The State estimates that a total of 5,132 families including 7,979 children will be offered waiver-funded services over the course of the demonstration. More precise estimates of the size of the demonstration’s target population for each core intervention will be provided in the State’s Initial Design and Implementation Report and evaluation plan (Section 2.4 and Section 3.5).

2.1 The following specific programs, services, and practices will be implemented under the State’s demonstration:
• Primary Interventions for Short Stayers:

  o **Crisis Response Team (CRT):** The CRT will be staffed by trained social workers who will be available 24 hours a day, seven days a week to respond in-person within 1–2 hours following a report to assess the family’s safety/risk factors using the Child Safety Assessment. If a safety factor is present and the family is willing to do an in-home safety plan, the CRT will then administer the Comprehensive Strengths and Risks Assessment to identify the family’s strengths and supports to be able to safely maintain the child in the home as appropriate. If a safety risk is identified and the family is unwilling or unable to implement an in-home safety plan, the CRT will proceed with removal of the child and the case will be assigned to a traditional child welfare assessment worker. The CRT is responsible for initiating Intensive Home-based Services.

  o **Intensive Home-based Services (IHBS):** Following a family’s referral to IHBS from the CRT, contracted staff will respond in-person within 4–8 hours of the initial report. Based on the results of Rapid Assessment Instruments (RAI), a service plan will be developed for the family. RAIs may include the Adult and Adolescent Parenting Inventory (AAPI), the Client Engagement in Child Protective Services (CECPS), and the Child Behavioral Checklist (CBCL). Services provided under this intervention may include, but are not limited to, trauma-informed individual and family counseling, parent education and mentoring, intensive family preservation and re-unification services (as needed), and prompt referrals for appropriate behavioral and mental health services. In addition, all IHBS cases will be automatically referred for an Earlier ‘Ohana Conference (family team meeting). More specific information regarding the core components of the IHBS intervention including the final selection of specific RAIs will be provided in the State’s Initial Design and Implementation Report (Section 2.4).

• Primary Interventions for Long Stayers:

  o **Safety, Permanency, and Well-Being Roundtables (SPAW):** Children and youth in the demonstration sites who have been in care for over 9 months will receive SPAW, including children who entered foster care prior to the implementation of the waiver. SPAW is a case staffing system aimed at breaking down systemic barriers to permanency, while ensuring high levels of safety and well-being. The SPAW includes service providers, other professionals involved with the child and family, consultants (cultural, medical, mental health, etc.), social workers, and administrators who work to develop individualized action plans for participating children and youth. More specific details regarding assignment to the intervention under the waiver demonstration will be provided in the State’s Initial Design and Implementation Report (Section 2.4).
Wrap Services: Children and youth in the demonstration sites who have been in care for over 9 months (both before and after the demonstration period) and have multiple and complex needs (e.g., academic, mental health, developmental delays, risk of running away) will be eligible for Wrap Services. Wrap Services incorporate a family-driven model that brings together representatives from multiple service agencies involved with a family to find creative solutions and supports in order to keep youth in the home or in their community. More specific details regarding eligibility and assignment to the intervention will be provided in the State’s Initial Design and Implementation Report (Section 2.4).

Additional services and programs to be provided to Long Stayers include:

- **Increased `Ohana Visiting Time**: The frequency and duration of this initiative will be increased under the waiver demonstration for children and youth in the demonstration sites for whom it has been determined that a safe return to their caregivers within two months is not possible.

- **Rapid Assessment Instruments (RAIs)**: RAIs (e.g., AAPI, CECPS, CBCL) will be implemented to better inform case planning and monitoring for the long stayer population. Information on the selection of specific RAIs to be used in connection with the above-mentioned interventions will be provided in the State’s Initial Design and Implementation Report (Section 2.4).

2.2 The State shall comply with the following general provisions over the full term of the demonstration project. The State will, or where appropriate, will ensure that: case workers, supervisors, and contracted provider agencies:

a. Work closely with the evaluator to maintain the integrity of the evaluation.

b. Develop and deliver services to meet the individual needs of each child and family.

c. Ensure that any services being provided to a child or family member at the time the demonstration ends will be completed.

d. Ensure the confidentiality of the information collected on the children and families under the demonstration.

e. Ensure that the rights of children and their families are protected, and that the safety of the children is a paramount concern of the demonstration project.

f. Ensure that title IV-E eligibility determinations are made for all children who are involved in the demonstration project, throughout the life of the demonstration project, to ensure that eligible children retain their eligibility after the
demonstration ends.

g. Bear any costs that exceed the amount of Federal funds provided for the demonstration.

h. Ensure that the State is organizationally and legally prepared for all aspects of demonstration project implementation.

i. Ensure that a conventional title IV-E program is maintained for those children and families that are not designated to receive demonstration services, and that these children and families continue to receive services that are consistent with the “traditional services” available to such children and families in the State at the time the demonstration begins.

j. Ensure that all applicable provisions of the Act apply to all demonstration components except for those provisions that are explicitly waived above.

k. Ensure that children who are eligible for the Medicaid program will retain their eligibility for a full range of Medicaid services under the demonstration.

l. Ensure that any “savings” resulting from the demonstration, whether they are savings to the Federal government, to the State, or to a county or to another jurisdiction within the State, will be used for the further provision of child welfare services. For the purposes of this provision, “savings” means any amount that would have been expended for conventional title IV-E purposes in the absence of this demonstration, or that could have been expended under title IV-B of the Act.

m. Ensure that the State annually will provide an accounting of any additional Federal, State, tribal, and local investments made, as well as any private investments made in coordination with the State, to provide the service intervention(s) that the applicant intends to undertake through the demonstration (see Section 5.6).

n. Ensure that in each year throughout the duration of this demonstration the appropriate State official(s) and evaluators will attend and participate in an annual meeting of the Child Welfare Demonstration States in the Washington, D.C. area.

o. For the duration of the demonstration project, assure that the State shall provide health insurance coverage to any child with special needs (as determined under Sec. 473(C) of the Act) for whom there is in effect an adoption assistance agreement between the State and an adoptive parent or parents.

2.3 The State shall provide assurance of how the State has implemented, or plans to implement within three years of the date on which it submits its application the following child welfare program improvement policies:
• **Increase Age Limit for Title IV-E Programs:** An election in the title IV-E plan that defines “child” so as to extend eligibility for title IV-E foster care, adoption assistance and, if applicable, kinship guardianship assistance programs beyond the age of 18 up to age 21 (New).

• The State has already implemented policies and programs related to the following child welfare program improvement policies: Foster Care Bill of Rights, Title IV-E Guardianship Assistance Program, Keeping Siblings Together, Recruiting and Supporting High Quality Foster Family Homes, Preparing Youth for Transition, and Family Finding.

2.4 The State will submit an Initial Design and Implementation Report within 90 days following acceptance of these Terms and Conditions (see Section 5.2). This report will include information about program design and implementation. Report sections regarding implementation will include:

- A Final Work Plan, outlining the key tasks, reporting requirements, and timelines throughout the course of the demonstration;

- A phase-down plan for the demonstration so that case plans for children and their families can be adjusted, if necessary, for the post-demonstration portion of their placement (See Section 6.1);

- The detailed protocol or set of policies that will guide decisions about which families or children are to be selected to participate in the demonstration, how the selection will be made, and how the suitability of services will be determined;

- Standards of quality and safety and practice requirements identified by the State to be incorporated into any agreements with public and private providers that are expected to provide support and services; and

- The status of evaluation activities, including efforts to engage a third-party evaluator.

The demonstration project will be reviewed periodically by the Department to ensure that the demonstration activities are consistent with the purposes of titles IV-B and IV-E of the Act and these Terms and Conditions in providing child welfare services, including an assurance of the safety of the children and families involved. The review is intended to ensure that benefit eligibility will not be impaired and that improved outcomes for the children and families will result. Any proposed amendment to these Terms and Conditions is subject to prior approval by the Department.
SECTION 3: EVALUATION

3.0 The State will conduct an evaluation of the use of title IV-E funds to test the hypotheses that providing a CRT and IHBS to Short Stayers will decrease incidents of placement and re-entry into out-of-home care and improve well-being for children and families; and that providing SPAW, Wrap Services, and Increased `Ohana Visiting Time to Long Stayers will improve permanency and placement stability and increase behavioral and emotional well-being.

The evaluation will consist of three components: A process evaluation, an outcome evaluation, and a cost analysis.

The State is required to engage a third party to conduct an evaluation of the demonstration program. The evaluator shall be an independent organization that is not affiliated with state or local government, except that state universities may be engaged to conduct the evaluation. The evaluator shall be responsible for the development of the final evaluation design within the basic evaluation parameters outlined below. The evaluator shall develop a research design and sampling plan; develop and execute the data collection and analysis plans; and prepare interim and final reports.

3.1 Evaluation Design: The State’s evaluation will consist of separate sub-studies of each of the demonstration’s five core interventions: CRT, IHBS, SPAW, Wrap, and Increased `Ohana Visiting Time. The research methodologies for the intervention sub-studies are as follows:

- The evaluation of CRT will involve a time-series analysis that examines changes in out-of-home placement rates over time.

- The evaluation of IHBS will involve a retrospective matched case comparison design in which children that receive IHBS following implementation of the demonstration are matched on a case-by-case basis with children served by the Department of Human Services prior to the demonstration’s implementation date. Changes over time in key safety and permanency outcomes will be compared for both matched groups.

- The evaluations of SPAW and Wrap Services will involve a prospective matched case comparison design in which children eligible to receive SPAW and/or Wrap Services following implementation of the demonstration are matched on a case-by-case basis with similar children not participating in these services. Changes over time in key permanency and placement stability outcomes will be compared for both matched groups.

- At a minimum, bivariate and multivariate analyses will be used to examine the relationships between Increased `Ohana Visiting Time and permanency outcomes.
For all sub-studies, a time-series analysis will be used to measure well-being outcomes for children and families.

Additional details regarding the evaluation designs for each sub-study, including child-specific matching variables for sub-studies involving a matched-case comparison design, will be determined by the State in consultation with its third-party evaluation contractor and described in the State’s evaluation plan (Section 3.5).

Throughout the evaluation, the State will apprise the Department of any difficulties encountered in achieving the estimated sample sizes for the project, and in consultation with the outside evaluator will determine if such difficulties will affect the State's ability to identify statistically significant differences in key demonstration outcomes. The State will notify the Department as soon as any serious problems are noted. Semi-annual progress reports (see Section 5.4) will include an update on the sample sizes and progress toward meeting the targeted sizes.

Cases will maintain their assigned status for the full period of the demonstration.

Modifications to the sampling plan may be proposed to the Department for approval if the sample sizes for the experimental and matched comparison groups are such that the number of clients in each group will provide adequate statistical power to detect differences in outcomes of interest between the two groups.

3.2 Process Evaluation: The evaluation will include interim and final process analyses that describe how the demonstration was implemented and that identify how demonstration services differ from services available prior to implementation of the demonstration, or from services available to children and families that are not designated to receive demonstration services. The analysis will include a logic model that describes the demonstration’s objectives, the services or other interventions provided, and the way the intervention is linked to measurable outcomes. In addition, the process analysis will examine, at a minimum, the following:

- The planning process for the demonstration including whether any formal needs assessment, asset mapping, or assessment of community readiness was conducted;

- The organizational aspects of the demonstration, such as staff structure, funding committed, administrative structures, and project implementation, including ongoing monitoring, oversight, and problem resolution at various organization levels;

- The number and type of staff involved in implementation, including the training they received, as well as their experience, education and characteristics;

- The service delivery system, including procedures for determining eligibility, referring subjects for services, the array of services available, the number of
children/families served and the type and duration of services provided;

• The role of the courts in the demonstration and the relationship between the child welfare agency and court system, including any efforts to jointly plan and implement the demonstration;

• Contextual factors, such as the social, economic and political forces that may have a bearing on the replicability of the intervention or influence the implementation or effectiveness of the demonstration. This discussion will note any possible confounding effects of changes in these systems, or changes resulting from other demonstrations or reforms that were implemented during the title IV-E demonstration;

• The degree to which demonstration programs and services are implemented with fidelity to their intended service models; and

• The barriers encountered during implementation, the steps taken to address these barriers, and any lessons learned during implementation.

• The degree to which demonstration programs incorporate culturally-sensitive practices.

For each of the factors described above, the process analysis will note any differences, as appropriate for the State’s evaluation design, in implementation before and after the start of the demonstration, among participating counties or other administrative units, or between the experimental and control/comparison groups.

3.3 Outcome Evaluation: The State’s outcome evaluation will address, at a minimum, differences between the experimental and matched comparison groups, or over time as appropriate, in the following outcomes:

• **CRT and IHBS:**
  
  o Entries into out-of-home placement, with a special focus on short-term foster care stays.
  
  o Re-entries into out-of-home placement.

  o Changes in well-being for children and families (e.g., behavioral and emotional functioning, social functioning, academic progress, physical health and development, mental health).

• **SPAW, Wrap, and Increased `Ohana Visiting Time:**

  o Length of time in out-of-home placement.
- Re-entries into out-of-home placement.
- Placement stability.
- Changes in well-being for youth and families (e.g., behavioral and emotional functioning, social functioning, academic progress, physical health and development, mental health).

The State is free to propose additional research questions and outcome measures for inclusion in the evaluation.

The State will collect data to address these questions from the State's automated child welfare information systems, child welfare agency case records, and additional information sources as appropriate. The State will work with its evaluation contractor to identify other appropriate data sources to address the process and outcome measures described above.

3.4 Cost Study: The cost analysis will examine, at a minimum, the costs of the key elements of services received by children and families designated to receive demonstration services and will compare these costs with those of services available prior to the start of the demonstration, or that were received by the children and families that were not designated to receive demonstration services. The cost analysis will also include an examination of the use of key funding sources, including all relevant Federal sources such as titles IV-A, IV-B, IV-E and XIX of the Act, as well as State and local funds. The purpose of the analysis will be to compare the costs of services available through the demonstration with those of services traditionally provided to children and their families. Where feasible, a cost-effectiveness analysis will be conducted to estimate the costs of each successful outcome achieved through the demonstration. This analysis will be conducted using one or more of the key outcome measures for which a statistically significant difference is identified.

3.5 Evaluation Reporting Requirements: The following reports and documents shall be provided to the Department for review and approval (also noted in Section 5):

- The State will submit to the Department for review a draft of the specifications or Request for Proposals (RFP) for the agreement to conduct an evaluation of the demonstration within 60 days after acceptance of these Terms and Conditions. The draft specifications must detail the objectives of the project, the evaluation design, the specific tasks to be conducted, the time frames for conducting those tasks, and a schedule and list of deliverables. The research questions, key variables, data collection methods, sample sizes and other aspects of the evaluation noted in these Terms and Conditions will be clearly described.

- The State will submit an evaluation plan to the Department for approval within 90 days after the evaluation contract is awarded. The evaluation plan must present the underlying logic linking interventions to expected outcomes, the research question to
be studied, the major variables to be measured, the final sampling plan, the data sources (including an assessment of the reliability and validity of each source), data collection procedures, and the major data analyses to be performed. The plan will describe the comparability of selected comparison groups on key variables.

- Not later than 60 days after the conclusion of the 10th quarter of the demonstration following the demonstration’s implementation date, the State will submit an interim evaluation report (see Section 5). The report will include a process analysis of the evaluation to date and any outcome data available at that time. The report will also include a brief description of the outcome and cost components of the evaluation planned and note any issues or problems anticipated in completion of these components. If the findings are unclear or incomplete, the Department may request revisions and resubmission of the report.

- Not later than six months after the conclusion of the demonstration (20th quarter), a final report integrating the process, outcome and cost components of the evaluation will be submitted. If the findings are unclear or incomplete, the Department may request revisions and resubmission of the report.

- The State will post copies of the interim and final evaluation reports on the State’s child welfare agency Website (see Section 5.10).

- Not later than six months after the conclusion of the demonstration (20th quarter), the State will have the evaluation contractor produce and make available public-use data tapes, including documentation necessary to permit re-analysis of the data gathered during the course of the evaluation.

Public release of any evaluation or monitoring reports required under this agreement will be made only by the Department or the State. Prior to public release of such reports, the Department and the State will have at least a 30-day period for review and approval.

3.6 Program Changes: Additional program changes that are not applied equally to experimental and control/comparison groups, or that would substantially affect the evaluation of the demonstration, must be approved by the Department as an amendment to these Demonstration Project Terms and Conditions.
SECTION 4: COST NEUTRALITY

4.0 As required by section 1130(h) of the Act, the amount of Federal funds expended for this project may not exceed the amount of such funds that would be expended by the State under the State plans approved under parts B and E of title IV if the demonstration project were not conducted. Therefore, except for costs of evaluating and developing this project (as specified in Sections 4.4 and 4.5 below), starting with the deemed beginning date (see Section 1.5) the operation of this demonstration is to be cost-neutral to the Federal government with respect to maintenance and administrative costs for titles IV-B and IV-E of the Act.

4.1 Section 4 Terms:

Costs. All references made to costs (unless otherwise specifically noted) consist of total computable (gross) costs. The Federal share of demonstration project costs will be computed using the matching rate applicable to the funding category.

Maintenance Costs. All references made to maintenance costs include title IV-E allowable foster care maintenance assistance payments (per Section 475(4)(A) of the Act), and such other costs for cases deemed as title IV-E allowable through a waiver granted for this demonstration project.

Administrative Costs. All references made to administrative costs include title IV-E allowable administrative costs (per Federal regulations at 45 CFR 1356.60(c)) for in-placement and candidate activities and other costs deemed as title IV-E allowable through a waiver granted for this demonstration project.

SACWIS Costs. All references made to SACWIS costs include title IV-E allowable SACWIS costs (per 45 CFR 1355.57 and Part 95 Subpart F) for the development, maintenance, and operation of an automated system that is determined by ACF to meet the requirements to be designated as a Statewide Automated Child Welfare Information System (SACWIS). Title IV-E allowability of specific SACWIS costs is further subject to (in addition to these terms and conditions) ACF approval of an advance planning document (APD) or an operational advance planning document (OAPD), as applicable.

Training Costs. All references made to training costs include title IV-E allowable training costs (per 45 CFR 235.63 through 235.66(a), 1356.60(b), and Section 474(a)(3)(B) of the Act) for the development, delivery or participation in training by eligible IV-E agency staff and providers or professional partner individuals.

Cumulative. All references made to cumulative in the context of costs will indicate that costs are to be summed for all quarters from the deemed beginning date through the quarter in question.

4.2 The total costs of foster care payments shall be an amount determined by a base allocation as determined in Section 4.2.1 below plus any annual change factor or
exclusions as specified in Section 4.2.2. The results of this calculation are shown in Section 4.3, Table 1. The aggregate five-year sum of Federal share payments shall be the cumulative fixed payment that shall be made to the State. Receipt by the State of payments not greater that the cumulative fixed payment shall be considered cost neutral to the Federal government.

At least 90 days prior to implementation, the State shall submit to the Department for approval a document showing a fixed schedule of payments for the five-year demonstration period. The State may receive quarterly payments in accordance with a schedule of quarterly payments the sum of which do not exceed the total cumulative payments under the demonstration.

4.2.1 The foster care base allocation amount to be used for purposes of establishing a cap to reflect cost neutrality to the Federal government for demonstration project operations has been determined for each of the funding categories impacted by the demonstration project through the following processes:

Source of claims: The base amount is the calculated Federal Fiscal Year (FFY) total computable (gross) title IV-E Foster Care allowable claims (including current and prior quarter adjustments) submitted by the State on quarterly reports of expenditures and estimates (i.e. form ACF-IV-E-1 or CB-496, as applicable) during the specified time periods for the following cost categories and adjusted for baseline increases as specified below:

- **Foster care maintenance costs:** Total computable costs of $5,502,502. This total is calculated from the average of actual claims reported in the funding category from FFYs 2009-2011 and is adjusted for the clothing allowance increase enacted in State Fiscal Year (SFY) 2014 as well as the corrected foster care maintenance costs associated with children placed in child care institutions. If documentation is submitted establishing that the actual FFY 2013 average monthly of children exceeds the 471 average monthly number of children included in the base, then, at state option, the base amount will be recalculated by substituting the FFYs 2011-2013 actual average monthly number of children data for the previously used number of 471. This revised caseload count will then be multiplied by the average claim per child using the FFYs 2009-2011 base and the associated adjustments.

- **Foster care administrative costs (excluding training and non-SACWIS automated systems costs):** Total computable costs of $28,415,190. This total is calculated from the average of actual claims reported in the funding category from FFYs 2009-2011 and is adjusted for the 9% salary increase that went in effect July 1, 2013.
Application of base allocation: The base amount will be applied separately in determining annual allocations for maintenance assistance payments and administration.

4.2.2 The payments for each full FFY during the 5-year operational period of the demonstration project shall consist of the base amount adjusted each FFY by the change factor(s) and the exclusions described in the subsections below. Thus, payments in the second and subsequent full FFYs of demonstration operations will equal the amount calculated for the prior FFY plus any further applicable changes. Payments for demonstration operations during any partial FFY will be pro-rated proportionally by quarter to reflect the portion of the FFY when the demonstration project is operational.

4.2.2.1 The annual allocation change factors applied have been determined by the following process:

a. Maintenance assistance payments: The growth rate based on three year average annual rate of change in the ratio of total computable maintenance payment claims to average monthly number of children. If, at state option, documentation is submitted establishing that the title IV-E impact of increases in maintenance payment rates in any FFY during which the project is operational (through FFY 2019) exceed the projected average claim per child annual growth rate for that FFY already factored into the allocation, the additional growth rate will be applied beginning in the FFY impacted and in each subsequent FFY within the five-year project operational period.

b. Administration: Growth rate based on three year average annual rate of change in administrative cost claims. The FFY 2014 allocation is adjusted for the 4% salary increase that has been enacted. If, at state option, documentation is submitted establishing that there is a title IV-E impact due to increases in administrative costs such as staff wage increases or higher staffing levels in any FFY during which the project is operational (through FFY 2019), the allocation level will be increased beginning in the FFY impacted to reflect this change up to a total of +3% per FFY. Any such calculated allocation increase will be applied beginning in the FFY impacted and in each subsequent FFY within the five-year project operational period. The referent baseline for measuring the extent of the title IV-E impact of increases is the base allocation cap in Table 1 for that FFY or the annual allocation for the most recent prior FFY, whichever is greater.
4.2.2.2 The foster care costs excluded from the cost neutrality calculation and thus subject to payment outside of the capped allocation are as follows:

a. Any allowable SACWIS developmental or operational costs will be excluded from the calculation of the demonstration’s capped allocation (see Section 4.3), and will be reimbursable separately in addition to the amount of the capped allocation.

b. Any non-SACWIS automated systems costs currently reported on form CB-496, Part 1 on line 8 (In-Placement Administrative Costs – Agency Management) will be excluded from the calculation of the demonstration’s capped allocation (see Section 4.3), and will be reimbursable separately in addition to the amount of the capped allocation.

c. Any allowable staff, provider or professional partner training costs will be excluded from the calculation of the demonstration’s capped allocation (see Section 4.3), and will be reimbursable separately in addition to the amount of the capped allocation.

d. If the State receives approval to amend its title IV-E State plan to elect an option to change the definition of a child to include those ages 18 or older, any allowable title IV-E claims on behalf of title IV-E eligible children ages 18-21 will be reimbursable separately and in addition to the amounts of the capped allocation for any FFY when the demonstration project is operational.

4.3 Federal title IV-E payments to the State for this demonstration, including expenditures of any realized savings, will be made for amounts in accordance with Table 1 (shown below) quarterly based on State estimates of demonstration expenditures for the next quarter in accordance with the payment schedule (as determined in Section 4.2 above).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cap</td>
<td>Cap</td>
<td>Cap</td>
<td>Cap</td>
<td>Cap</td>
<td>Cap</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maintenance Payments</td>
<td>$5,502,502</td>
<td>$5,859,371</td>
<td>$6,257,137</td>
<td>$6,540,592</td>
<td>$6,894,944</td>
<td>$7,279,948</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Administration</td>
<td>$28,415,190</td>
<td>$29,764,986</td>
<td>$28,747,024</td>
<td>$30,328,110</td>
<td>$30,831,556</td>
<td>$31,087,458</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All Capped Categories</td>
<td>$33,917,692</td>
<td>$35,624,357</td>
<td>$35,004,161</td>
<td>$36,868,702</td>
<td>$37,726,500</td>
<td>$38,367,406</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* The amounts in Table 1 without application of the contingency factors discussed in Section 4.2.2.1 in each FFY.
Federal title IV-E payments for demonstration operational expenditures will be made for any quarter beginning in accordance with the dates specified in section 1.5 of these terms and conditions when the demonstration is in operation and for a total period of no longer than five years (20 quarters) thereafter.

Actual expenditures claimed for the demonstration project, including expenditures of any realized savings, along with estimates in advance of each quarter, will be identified separately on the ACF quarterly claim form, CB-496. Summary fiscal information on the results of the project must be reported in Part 3 as well as other applicable parts of form CB-496. These estimates and claims relating to the demonstration will be subject to review and deferral or adjustment according to the normal procedures for reviewing title IV-E estimates and paying title IV-E claims. All other title IV-E claims that are not related to this demonstration will continue to be filed in accordance with current quarterly claiming requirements for payments for allowable cost. The State must examine its cost allocation plan to determine whether any of the components will affect the calculation of or claiming for any administrative costs under title IV-E, and if so the State must submit an amendment to the cost allocation plan prior to the implementation date to address any such effects appropriately.

4.4 Developmental Costs. Developmental costs are the expenses the State incurs to establish the demonstration prior to the project’s implementation. These costs are excluded from the cost-neutrality calculation. For activities undertaken prior to the implementation date specified in Section 1.5 above, the Federal government will match the approved administrative costs related to development of the demonstration project (otherwise called developmental costs) at the 50 percent matching rate without application of cost allocation. Such costs can begin with the preparation of the State’s proposal and may also include automated systems development and changes, policy or procedures development, and staff training. Developmental costs do not include costs for activities performed on or after the deemed start date of project operations. No later than 30 days after the State formally accepts these Terms and Conditions, the State will submit a plan, for approval by the Department, designating which administrative costs will be treated as developmental costs for purposes of this section (see Section 5.0). This section is not intended to supersede other requirements for Federal approval for administrative costs of the programs involved in the demonstration.

4.5 Evaluation Costs. Evaluation costs are expenses incurred by the external evaluator as well as those incurred by the State that are directly related to the evaluation effort. These costs are excluded from cost-neutrality calculation. Evaluation costs begin with the first evaluation planning activities and continue until the final evaluation report is submitted. Such costs will encompass all costs necessary to carry out the approved evaluation plan, including costs for evaluation activities carried out by State and local agencies as well as those carried out by the evaluation contractor. The costs of approved evaluation activities may be charged to title IV-E administrative costs without cost allocation so that the State may claim a full 50 percent of these costs as title IV-E administrative costs. The State shall address any changes needed to implement this provision through submission of
appropriate amendments to its approved State cost allocation plans. Costs of evaluation that arise from the demonstration project(s) approved under these Terms and Conditions may be claimed for a reasonable period of time after the expiration of the period of this demonstration (Section 1.5) so long as the costs are for activities required by the evaluation plan(s) approved by the Department and are otherwise allowable and reasonable. Evaluation components not approved by the Department will not qualify for Federal matching funds.
SECTION 5: MONITORING

The State will send all reports required in this section to the Children’s Bureau, the Regional ACF Office, and the evaluation technical assistance contractor for this initiative.

5.0 The State must submit a plan, for approval by the Department, designating which administrative costs will be treated as developmental costs (see Section 4.4) no later than 30 days after accepting these Terms and Conditions.

5.1 Within 60 days of acceptance of these Terms and Conditions, the State will submit to the Department a draft of the specifications or Request for Proposal (RFP) and evaluation specifications for review.

5.2 Within 90 days following acceptance of these Terms and Conditions, the State will submit an Initial Design and Implementation Report to the Department for approval (see Section 2.4 for detailed elements of the report).

5.3 The State shall submit quarterly progress reports beginning 90 days after the acceptance of these Terms and Conditions and continuing until implementation. The Initial Design and Implementation Report will serve as the first quarterly report. All subsequent reports are due no later than 30 days after the conclusion of each quarterly period and will include a basic update on the status of each activity or task identified in the Implementation Report. The report will also identify any problems encountered that may have an impact on the design or anticipated implementation schedule. Suggestions for resolving these problems will be provided for the Department’s review and approval.

5.4 Once implementation has begun, semi-annual progress reports will be required throughout the project period summarizing project and evaluation activities and accomplishments during the reporting period as well as interim findings from the evaluation, if available. The semi-annual monitoring reports shall indicate issues or problems and resolutions regarding the implementation of the demonstration or evaluation as approved, including updates on the resolution of any significant problems identified in the implementation report. The State will address its progress toward implementing the Child Welfare Program Improvement Policies as described in Section 2.3. These reports are due no later than 30 days after the conclusion of each reporting period.

5.5 The State will submit an evaluation plan to the Department for approval within 90 days after the evaluation contract is awarded (Section 3.5). The evaluation plan must be approved by the Department prior to implementation.

5.6 The State will submit an annual accounting during the demonstration project period of all investments, public or private, made in coordination with the State to provide services under the proposed demonstration project.

5.7 The State will submit an Interim Evaluation Report 60 days after the conclusion of the
10th quarter following the demonstration's implementation date (Section 3.5).
Additional reports may be proposed by the State and, subject to approval by the Department, may be considered allowable components of the evaluation of the demonstration.

5.8 The State will submit a Final Evaluation Report six months after the project ends, integrating the process study, the outcomes study, and the cost analysis (Section 3.5).

5.9 The State will post copies of the interim and final evaluation reports on the State’s child welfare agency Website (see Section 3.5).

5.10 The State will submit, or have the evaluation contractor produce and make available, public-use data tapes, including documentation necessary to permit re-analysis of the data gathered during the course of the evaluation, six months after the project ends (Section 3.5).
SECTION 6: TERMINATION PROCEDURES

6.0 Federal financial participation in demonstration activities requiring waivers will not be provided beyond the period approved by the Department.

6.1 As part of the Initial Design and Implementation Report (Section 2.4), the State will submit for the Department’s approval a plan to phase down and end the demonstration to ensure that there are no demonstration-related Federal costs incurred beyond the period approved by the Department. All activities requiring Department approval must cease on the date decided by the Department if the project is terminated prior to the end of the 20th quarter after the deemed beginning date of the demonstration.
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