State of Hawaii **Department of Human Services** Social Services Division Hawaii Data Booklet **Annual Progress and Services Report (APSR)** Federal Fiscal Year 2025 (v6.18.24) Submitted: June 28, 2024

# THIS PAGE IS INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

	ra	ge
Table of Contents	i -	viii
Overview	1	i

INTAKE		
Figure		Page
1	Statewide Intake Hotline Calls [Table]	2
2	Intakes Assigned: Totals [Table]	2
3	Intakes Assigned: Totals [Table]	2
4	Factors Precipitating Incident for Confirmed Victims – SFY 2023 [Table]	3
5	Intake Disposition by County [Table]	4
6	Victim Disposition by County [Table]	4
7	Victims Assigned for CWS Investigation: Totals and Confirmed Intakes [Chart]	5
8	Intakes Report Source with Disposition [Table]	5
9	Intake Report Source with Disposition (SFY 2019 - 2023) [Chart]	5
10	Confirmed Reports of Abuse or Neglect: Age Range in Years - SFY 2023 [Chart]	6
11	Confirmed Reports of Abuse or Neglect: Gender - SFY 2023 [Chart]	6
12	Confirmed Reports of Abuse or Neglect: Ethnicity - SFY 2023 [Table]	7
13	Confirmed Reports of Abuse or Neglect: Ethnic Group - SFY 2023 [Chart]	7
14	Severity of Harm of Confirmed Reports of Abuse or Neglect - SFY 2023 [Chart]	8
15	Confirmed Maltreatment by Type [Table]	8
16	Confirmed Maltreatment by Type [Chart]	9
17	Supervisory Legal Status: Families and Children [Table]	10
18	Supervisory Legal Status: Families by Geographic Location [Table]	10
19	Supervisory Legal Status: Children by Geographic Location [Table]	10
20	Supervisory Legal Status: Children by Geographic Location and Age Range [Table]	11

# II. CHILDREN IN FOSTER CARE

Figure		Page
21	Total in Foster Care, Entries, and Exits [Chart]	12
22	Total in Foster Care [Chart]	12
23	Total in Foster Care [Chart]	13
24	Total in Foster Care: Percent Change Between SFY Periods [Table]	13
25	Total in Foster Care: Percent by Geographic Area [Chart]	14
26	Total in Foster Care: Percent Change by Geographic Area [Table]	14
27	Total in Foster Care: One Month or Less [Table]	15
28	Total in Foster Care: Length of Stay in Months (Average, Median, Mode) [Chart]	15
29	Total in Foster Care: Age Range in Years [Table]	16
30	Total in Foster Care: Age Range in Years [Chart]	16
31	Total in Foster Care: Age Range 0-2 Years [Chart]	31
32	Total in Foster Care: Age Range 0-5 Years [Chart]	32
33	Total in Foster Care: Age Ranges 0-2 and 0-5 Years [Chart]	18
34	Total in Foster Care: Age Range 0-5 Years - Age at Entry [Chart]	18
35	Total in Foster Care: Age Range 0-5 Years by Ethnic Group (Total) [Chart]	19
36	Total in Foster Care: Age Range 0-5 Years by Ethnic Group (Percent) [Chart]	20
37	Total in Foster Care: Age Ranges 0-2 and 0-5 Years by Geographic Location – SFY 2023 [Chart]	21
38	Total in Foster Care: Age Range 0-2 Years by Geographic Location – SFY 2023 [Chart]	21
39	Total in Foster Care: Age Range 0-5 Years - SFY 2023 [Chart]	21

III. EXIT FRO	M FOSTER CARE	
Figure		Page
40	Exit from Foster Care: Age Ranges 0-2 and 0-5 Years by Discharge Type – SFY 2023 [Chart]	22
41	Exit from Foster Care: Age Ranges 0-2 and 0-5 Years by Discharge Type (Percent) – SFY 2023 [Chart]	22
42	Exit from Foster Care: Age at Time of Exit by Discharge Type (Age at Entry 0-2 Years) – SFY 2023 [Chart]	23
43	Exit from Foster Care: Aged 0-5 Years by Discharge Type at Time of Entry – SFY 2023 [Chart]	23
44	Exit from Foster Care: Age Range in Years by Discharge Type [Table]	24
45	Exit from Foster Care: Discharge Type [Chart]	25
46	Reunification and Emancipation Rates [Table]	25
47	Timely Responses: Completed by CWS and VCM [Chart]	26
48	Trending Timely Responses: Completed by CWS and VCM [Chart]	26
49	Absence of Recurrence of Child Abuse and Neglect [Chart]	27
50	Absence of Maltreatment in Foster Care [Chart]	27
51	Children Reunified with Parents [Chart]	28
	IN CHILDREN IN FOSTER CARE	
Figure		Page
52	Hawaiian and Non-Hawaiian Children in Foster Care [Table]	29
53	Hawaiian Children in Foster Care, Entries and Exits [Chart]	30
54	Hawaiian and Non-Hawaiian Children in Foster Care: 10-Year Trend [Chart]	30
55	Hawaiian and Non-Hawaiian Children: Discharge Type [Chart]	31
V. PLACEM	-NTS	
Figure		Page
56	Children Placed in Relative and Non-Relative Foster Care Homes: Monthly Average [Chart]	31
57	Children Placed in Relative and Non-Relative Foster Care Homes: Monthly Average (Percent) [Chart]	32
58	Exit from Foster Care: Adoption and Legal Guardianship: (Total) [Chart]	32
59	Exit from Foster Care: Adoption and Legal Guardianship (Percent) [Chart]	33
60	Adoption and Legal Guardianship: Incentive Awards [Table]	33
61	Re-entry into Foster Care within 12-months of Exit [Chart]	34
62	Placement Stability: Two or Fewer Placements [Chart]	34
63	Timely Reunification Within 12 Months [Chart]	34
64	Timely Adoption Within 24 Months [Chart]	35

VI.	CASEWO	PRKER VISITS	
	Figure		Page
	65	Worker Visit Survey: Reporting Population, Months in Care, Caseworker Visits [Table]	36
	66	Worker Visit Survey: Monthly Visits to Children in Care [Chart]	36
	67	Worker Visit Survey: Monthly Visits in the Child's Home [Chart]	37
	68	Worker Visit Survey: Reasons for Lack of Visits - FFY 2023 [Chart]	37
VII.	MEETING	S AND PERIODIC HEARINGS	
	Figure		Page
	69	Ohana Conferences/First Meetings and Youth /Imua Kākou Circles [Chart]	38
	70	Placeholder	38
	71	Notice of Hearings and Reviews to Resource Caregivers [Table]	39
VIII.	STAFF AN	ID PROVIDER TRAINING	
	Figure		Page
	72	CWS New Hire Training [Table]	40
	73	CWS New Hire Training Participant Assessment – SFY 2023 [Table]	40
	74	CWS and VCM Staff Ongoing Training Requirements [Table]	41
	75	Resource Caregiver and Adoptive Parent Ongoing Training [Table]	41
	76	Foster Parent College Online Training [Table]	42
	77	Virtual Mode for Resource Caregiver Training [Table]	42
	78	Statewide Targeted Recruitment of Resource Caregivers [Table]	42
IX.	RESOURC	CE CAREGIVERS	
	Figure		Page
	79	Licensed Resource Caregiver Homes Opened by Type – SFY 2023 [Chart]	43
	80	Ratio of Resource Caregiver Homes to Children in Care [Table]	43
	81	Ratio of Resource Caregiver Homes to Children in Care [Chart]	44
	82	Resource Caregiver Homes and Monthly Average of Children in Care [Chart]	44
	83	Multi-Ethnic Report on Children in Foster Care and Resource Caregivers – SFY 2023 [Table]	45

X.	CONTRA	CTED SERVICES	
	<b>Figure</b> 84 85 86	Family First Hawaii: Services Start Dates and Regions [Table]	<b>Page</b> 46 46 46
XI.	CHILD F	ATALITIES TO THE REPORT OF THE PARTY OF THE	
	Figure 87	Child Fatalities Confirmed for Maltreatment [Table] – Pending Verification of Data	Page 47
XII.	CWS STA	FFING STATUS AND DEMOGRAPHICS	
	Figure		Page
	88	CWS Staffing: Positions and Vacancies [Table]	48
	89	CWS Staffing: Average Caseload [Table]	48
	90	CWS Staffing: Positions Filled and Vacant - February 2024 [Table]	48
	91	CWS Staffing: Positions Filled and Vacant - February 2024 [Chart]	49
	92	CWS Staffing: Age Distribution - February 2024 [Chart]	49
	93	CWS Staffing: Highest Level of Education - February 2024 [Chart]	50
	94	CWS Staffing: Ethnic Group (Self-Reported) - February 2024 [Chart]	50
	95	CWS Staffing: Length of Employment (Self-Reported) - February 2024 [Chart]	51
XIII	. FOSTER Y	OUTH DETENTION CENTERS	
	Figure		Page
	96	Foster Youth in Detention Centers [Table]	52
	97	Foster Youth in Detention Centers [Chart]	52
	98	Length of Stay Frequency in Detention Centers [Table]	52

#### XIV. DOMESTIC VIOLENCE AND HUMAN TRAFFICKING **Figure** Page 99 53 Domestic Violence Services [Table] Alleaed Victims of Human Trafficking by Gender [Chart]..... 100 54 Alleged Victims of Human Trafficking by Gender - (SFY 2019 - 2021, FFY 2022 - 2023) [Chart]...... 101 54 Alleged Victims of Human Trafficking by Age [Table] ..... 102 55 Alleaed Victims of Human Trafficking by Age [Chart] 103 55 Alleaed Victims of Human Trafficking by Ethnicity - FFY 2022, 2023 [Chart] ..... 56 104 105 Alleaed Victims of Human Traffickina by Ethnicity [Chart] 56 Alleged Victims of Human Trafficking by Ethnicity - (SFY 2019 - 2021, FFY 2022 - 2023) [Chart] ..... 106 57 Human Traffickina Referral Source [Table] 107 57 108 Human Traffickina Legal/Law Enforcement Referral Source [Chart] ...... 58 109 Human Traffickina Referral Source - (SFY 2019 - 2021, FFY 2022 - 2023) [Chart] 58 59 110 Human Trafficking Reports by Island/County [Chart]..... 111 Human Trafficking Reports by Exploitation Type [Chart]..... 59 Human Trafficking Reports by Type - (SFY 2019 - 2021, FFY 2022 - 2023) [Chart] ..... 112 60 Human Trafficking Reports by Disposition (Total) [Chart]..... 113 60 Human Trafficking Reports by Disposition - (Percent) [Chart] ..... 114 61

_			
XIV	. INDEPEN	DENT LIVING SERVICES AND HIGHER EDUCATION	
	Figure		Page
	115	Youth and Young Adults Receiving Independent Services [Table]	62
	116	National Youth in Transition Database (NYTD): Services Provided - FFY 2023 [Chart]	62
	117	National Youth in Transition Database (NYTD): Participation by Gender - FFY 2023 [Chart]	63
	118	National Youth in Transition Database (NYTD): Participation by Race - FFY 2023 [Chart]	63
	119	National Youth in Transition Database (NYTD): Participation by Grade Level - FFY 2023 [Chart]	64
	120	Youth Participants and Youth/Imua Kakou Circles [Chart]	64
	121	Higher Education Assistance: Stipends for New and Returning Students [Table]	65
	122	Higher Education Assistance: Stipends for New and Returning Students [Chart]	65
	123	Education and Training Vouchers: New and Returning Students [Table]	66
	124	Education and Training Vouchers: New and Returning Students [Chart]	66
	125	Young Adults Receiving Imua Kakou or Extended Assistance [Table]	67
	126	Title IV-E Cases for Imua Kakou or Extended Assistance [Chart]	68
	127	Imua Kakou Applications [Chart]	68
	128	Imua Kakou Application Ineligibility Reasons [Chart]	69
XV.	SERVICE	FUNDING	
	Figure		Page
	129	Title IV-B, Subpart I Child Care, Foster Care, and Adoption Assistance Comparison [Table]	70
	130	TITLE IV-B-2 Estimated Expenditures for FEY 2025 [TABLE]	70

#### XVI. CHILD AND FAMILY SERVICES REVIEW (CFSR) **Figure** Page Child and Family Services Review (CSFR) Overview...... 71 C CFSR Five-Year Strenath Ratina Results Summary ...... 72 C.1Item 1: Timeliness of Initiating Investigations of Reports of Child Maltreatment..... 73 C2 73 Item 2: Services to Family to Protect Child(ren) in the Home and Prevent Removal or Re-Entry Into Foster Care...... Item 3: Risk Assessment and Safety Management ..... C3 74 C4 Item 4: Stability of Foster Care Placement 74 C5Item 5: Permanency Goal for Child...... 75 75 C6 Item 6: Achieving Reunification, Guardianship, Adoption, or Another Planned Permanent Living Arrangement ..... C.7 Item 7: Placement With Siblinas..... 76 Item 8: Visitina With Parents and Siblinas in Foster Care..... C8 76 C9 Item 9: Preservina Connections..... 77 C10 Item 10° Relative Placement 77 Item 11: Relationship of Child in Care With Parents..... C1178 Item 12: Needs and Services of Child, Parents, and Resource Careaivers..... C1278 Sub-Item 12A: Needs Assessment and Services to Children C12 A 79 C12 B Sub-Item 12B: Needs Assessment and Services to Parents ...... 79 C12 C Sub-Item 12C: Needs Assessment and Services to Resource Careaivers...... 80 C13Item 13: Child & Family Involvement in Case Planning 80 Item 14: Caseworker Visits With Child.... C.1481 Item 15: Caseworker Visits With Parent(s)..... C15 81 Item 16: Educational Needs of the Child..... 82 C16 C17 Item 17: Physical Health of the Child ..... 82 C.18Item 18: Mental/behavioral Health of the Child ..... 83 Page Glossary 84

#### **OVFRVIFW**

Hawaii's FFY 20XX APSR Data Booklet is an integral part of the FFY 20XX ASPR. The figures contained in this booklet are referenced throughout the FFY 20XX APSR and provide detail and graphic representation of the relevant data. Although there may be occasional clarifying notes following the figures in this booklet, it is recommended that the data in this booklet be reviewed along with the accompanying narrative in the FFY 20XX APSR for proper context and a more complete understanding.

The data contained in this booklet was gathered from internal Department of Human Services (DHS) sources, community stakeholders, partners, and contracted providers, then compiled and presented in these figures to help inform the reader.

The Child Welfare Services database, Child Protective Services System (CPSS), was the source of much of the data. Within CPSS, data does not remain static; rather it will experience some temporal flux due to the addition or modification of data following the extraction of data for a report. The data extracted farther from the period being reported is usually more stable than data extracted closer to the report period. This could account for discrepancies between the data in this booklet and other historic data reports.

# **Extraction and Reporting Protocols:**

- > Hawaii's State Fiscal Year (SFY) begins on July 1 and runs through June 30 of the following calendar year.
- > Child's age is calculated as of the last day of the report period if the child is still in care or receiving the service being reported; the date of exit from foster care; or at the date of a specific event, e.g., adoption or award of legal guardianship, depending on the information being reported.
- > Child's age is reported in years, as an integer, e.g., age 0 includes birth to the day before the child's first birthday, age 1 includes the first birthday to the day before the child's second birthday. [0.0 through 0.99 = 0, 1.0 through 1.99 = 1]
- > Counts of children in care and recipients of services/benefits:
  - Monthly average data reflects the total count for each month and then combines and averages the total over 12 months. This provides a more realistic measure/approach to service needs and capacity. Individuals are unduplicated within a month but might be duplicated in the total of all months.
  - Annual count, used in reporting per SFY, is an unduplicated count of all who received that service during the year.


FIGURE 1: STATEWIDE INTAKE HOTLINE CALLS [TABLE]

Intervention Type	SF	Y 2019	SF	Y 2020	SF	Y 2021		SFY 2022	SF	Y 2023
Non-intervention	15,719	77%	16,623	77%	15,347	75%	14,628	71%	14,057	73%
Assigned	4,706	23%	4,907	23%	5,001	25%	5,975	29%	5,167	27%
Total Calls	20,425	100%	21,530	100%	20,348	100%	20,603	100%	19,224	100%

# FIGURE 2: INTAKES ASSIGNED: TOTALS [TABLE]

Intervention Level	SFY 2019	SFY 2020	SFY 2021	SFY 2022	SFY 2023
CWS	2,579	2,921	3,073	3,328	2,988
DRS/VCM	1,503	1,430	1,397	1,973	1,541
DRS/FSS	624	556	531	674	638
Total	4,706	4,907	5,001	5,975	5,167

## FIGURE 3: INTAKES ASSIGNED: PERCENT [CHART]



#### Figure 1:

Tables represent a five-year trend (SFY 2019 – 2023) of the total calls. The total calls are subdivided by screened calls for non-intervention and assigned for intervention.

Note: Adjustments are being made to the data information collection source in this area. Data for SFY 2023 is derived from the intake call system.

Data Source: DHS, CWS Intake (SFY 2019-2022), intake call system (SFY 2023)

#### Figures 2:

Table represents a five-year trend (SFY 2019 – 2023) of intakes assigned by intervention level assigned, based on the intervention totals in Figure 1.

Data Source: DHS, CWS Intake (SFY 2019-2022); SHAKA (SFY 2023)

### Figures 3:

Chart illustrates a five-year percentage trend (SFY 2019 – 2023) of intakes assigned to CWS and DRS by intervention level.

Percentage calculation: Intervention Level/Overall total for SFY

Data Source: DHS, CWS Intake (SFY 2019-2022); SHAKA (SFY 2023)

FIGURE 4: FACTORS PRECIPITATING INCIDENT FOR CONFIRMED VICTIMS - SFY 2023 [TABLE]

Factors	Children	Percent
Inability to Cope with Parenting Responsibility	729	74.2%
Unacceptable Child Rearing Method	646	65.8%
Drug Abuse	388	39.5%
Physical Abuse of Spouse/Fighting	181	18.4%
Chronic Family Violence	179	18.2%
Mental Health Problem	154	15.7%
Alcohol Abuse	136	13.8%
Heavy Continuous Childcare Responsibility	111	11.3%
Lack of Tolerance to Child's Behavior	102	10.4%
Family Discord	95	9.7%
Loss of Control During Discipline	79	8.0%
Missing	71	7.2%
Broken Family	55	5.6%
Police/Court Record (Excluding Traffic)	55	5.6%
Inadequate Housing	45	4.6%
New Baby in Home/Pregnancy	39	4.0%
Parental History of Abuse as a Child	12	1.2%
Insufficient Income/Misuse of Income	8	0.8%
Incapacity due to Handicap/Chronic Illness	4	0.4%
Recent Relocation	2	0.2%
Mental Retardation	2	0.2%
Social Isolation	1	0.1%
Normal Authoritarian Discipline	1	0.1%
Total	982	N/A

#### Figure 4:

Table represents the total children and percentages of the factors precipitating incidents (conditions that were identified as contributing to the abuse or neglect of the child) for SFY 2023. If more than one factor applies, all factors (up to a maximum of six) have been included in this table. Therefore, the total count of factors may exceed the total number of child victims.

- The "Children" column indicates the number of children for whom this factor was reported.
- The "Percent" column percentage is based on the total number of confirmed victims, and the number of victims with this factor (children for factor/total number of children =%).
- Since more than one factor may be indicated per victim, the children and percentages will add up to more than the total number of confirmed victims and more than 100%.

FIGURE 5: INTAKE DISPOSITION BY COUNTY - SFY 2023 [TABLE]

County	Confirmed	Not Confirmed	Total	Confirmed Intakes
Hawaii	173	262	435	40%
Honolulu	270	1,239	1,509	18%
Kauai	49	142	191	26%
Maui	85	262	347	24%
Statewide	577	1,905	2,482	23%

FIGURE 6: VICTIM DISPOSITION BY COUNTY - SFY 2023 [TABLE]

County	Confirmed	Not Confirmed	Total	Confirmed Intakes
Hawaii	316	566	882	36%
Honolulu	448	2,628	3,076	15%
Kauai	78	321	399	20%
Maui	140	576	716	20%
Statewide	982	4,091	5,073	19%

#### Figure 5:

Table represents the total intakes (confirmed/not confirmed) and the percentage of confirmed intakes by county for SFY 2023. An intake is a report of a child abuse or neglect incident that have been accepted for investigation and a determination of the abuse/nealect have been made. An intake usually refers to a family unit and may involve the possible maltreatment of more than one child. A confirmed intake is one where at least one child in the intake had at least one abuse type confirmed or substantiated. A separate. unrelated incident may result in another intake for the same family or child.

Source: CPSS (2)

#### Figure 6:

Table represents the total intakes (confirmed/not confirmed) and the percentage of confirmed intakes for SFY 2023. A victim is a child in an intake identified as one being possibly maltreated. A confirmed victim is one that had at least one abuse type confirmed or substantiated.

Source: CPSS (2)

Note: The variance in the data in Figures 1, 2 and 5 is due to the use of different data sources. Figures 1 and 2 are based on CWS Intake Stats at-a-Glance, which is a hand-count by CWS Intake staff.

FIGURE 7: VICTIMS ASSIGNED FOR CWS INVESTIGATION: TOTALS AND CONFIRMED INTAKES [CHART]




FIGURE 8: INTAKE REPORT SOURCE WITH DISPOSITION [TABLE]

Report Source	SFY 2019	SFY 2020	SFY 2021	SFY 2022	SFY 2023	Total	Five-Year %
Law / legal*	490	518	609	684	675	2,976	23%
Medical	460	501	511	497	482	2,451	19%
Social Services	379	431	398	476	412	2,096	16%
Parent, Relative, Neighbor*	207	322	383	393	278	1,583	12%
Education	309	276	159	329	327	1,400	11%
Anon, Miss, Other*	356	476	557	408	194	1,991	15%
Mental Health	79	66	93	102	97	437	3%
Day Care	6	7	6	9	8	36	0%
Resource Caregiver	4	2	4	5	5	20	0%
Alleged Victim	46	8	1	18	4	77	1%
Alleged Perpetrator	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
Total	2,336	2,607	2,721	2,921	2,482	13,067	100%

## Figure 7:

Chart illustrates a five-year trend (SFY 2019 – 2023) of the total victims and the total of confirmed intakes overlayed by the respective percentages (blue dotted line graph) statewide.

Note: the total bar includes all cases (confirmed/not confirmed) that were assigned to CWS for investigation in that year. Percent of confirmed intakes is calculated by confirmed intakes/total intakes.


Data Source: CPSS (2)

## Figure 8:

Table represents a five-year trend (SFY 2019 - 2023) of intake report sources received by DHS, accepted for intervention /investigation, and given a determination. These numbers are not limited to the confirmed reports.

Note: \* Consolidated sources: "Anon, Miss, Other" includes Unknown or missing, anonymous, and other; "Law/Legal" includes Legal, law enforcement, or criminal justice personnel; "Parent, Relative, Neighbor" includes Parent, other relative, friend, neighbor. Totals for SFY 2021 and 2022 have been recalculated and corrected in this year's report.

FIGURE 9: INTAKE REPORT SOURCE WITH DISPOSITION (SFY 2019 - 2023) [CHART]




#### Figure 9:


Chart illustrates the intake report sources received by DHS, accepted for intervention/ investigation, and given a determination during the period of SFY 2019 - 2023. These numbers are not limited to the confirmed reports.

Note: \* Consolidated sources: "Anon, Miss, Other" includes Unknown or missing, anonymous, and other; "Law/Legal" includes Legal, law enforcement, or criminal justice personnel; "Parent, Relative, Neighbor" includes Parent, other relative, friend, neighbor.

Data Source: CPSS (2)

FIGURE 10/11: CONFIRMED REPORTS OF ABUSE OR NEGLECT: AGE AND GENDER - SFY 2023 [CHARTS]





#### Figure 10:

Chart illustrates the age range in years (0-5, 6-11, 12-17 years of age) distribution of children with confirmed reports of abuse or neglect by percent in SFY 2023.

Data Source: CPSS (2)

## Figure 11:


Confirmed Reports of Abuse/Neglect by Gender.

Chart illustrates the gender distribution of children with confirmed reports of abuse or neglect by percent in SFY 2023.

FIGURE 12: CONFIRMED REPORTS OF ABUSE OR NEGLECT: ETHNICITY - SFY 2023 [TABLE]

Primary Ethnicity	Children	Percent
American Indian	7	0.7%
Black	37	3.8%
Cambodian	2	0.2%
Chinese	5	0.5%
Filipino	59	6.0%
Guamanian	6	0.6%
Hawaiian/Part Hawaiian	338	34.4%
Hispanic/Spanish	24	2.4%
Japanese	31	3.2%
Korean	4	0.4%
Laotian	1	0.1%
Marshallese	10	1.0%
Micronesian	24	2.4%
Mixed	79	8.0%
Other Pacific Islander	6	0.6%
Samoan	20	2.0%
Tongan	4	0.4%
Unable to Determine	64	6.5%
White	245	24.9%
Missing	16	1.6%
Total	<u>982</u>	<u>100%</u>

FIGURE 13: CONFIRMED REPORTS OF ABUSE OR NEGLECT: ETHNIC GROUP - SFY 2023 [CHART]



## Figure 12:

Table represents the total percentage of confirmed reports of abuse and neglect by primary ethnicity (as identified by the parent or child) for SFY 2023.

Data Source: CPSS (2)

# Figure 13:


Table represents the total percentage of confirmed reports of abuse and neglect by primary ethnicity (as identified by the parent or child) for SFY 2023.

Note: \* For the purposes of this chart, ethnic groups include:

\*Asian - Cambodian, Chinese, Japanese, Korean, Laotian.

\*Pacific Islander - Filipino, Guamanian, Marshallese, Micronesian, Other Pacific Islanders, Palauan, Samoan, Tongan.

FIGURE 14: SEVERITY OF HARM OF CONFIRMED REPORTS OF ABUSE OR NEGLECT - SFY 2023 [CHART]



# FIGURE 15: CONFIRMED MALTREATMENT BY TYPE [TABLE]

Maltreatment	S	FY 2019	S	FY 2020	S	FY 2021	S	FY 2022	S	FY 2023
Medical Neglect	9	0.7%	6	0.4%	6	0.5%	12	0.9%	3	0.3%
Physical Neglect	186	14.1%	255	18.3%	273	20.5%	190	13.8%	136	13.8%
Physical Abuse	115	8.7%	120	8.6%	96	7.2%	94	6.8%	81	8.2%
Psychological Abuse	10	0.8%	16	1.1%	3	0.2%	7	0.5%	11	1.1%
Sex Trafficking	15	1.1%	19	1.4%	30	2.3%	10	0.7%	6	0.6%
Sex Abuse	70	5.3%	94	6.7%	72	5.4%	87	6.3%	43	4.4%
Threatened Harm	916	69.3%	883	63.4%	851	63.9%	980	71%	702	71.5%
Total	1,321	100%	1,393	100%	1,331	100%	1,380	100%	982	100%

## Figure 14:

Chart illustrates the severity of harm for children with confirmed reports of severity of harm of abuse or neglect by percentage in SFY 2023.


Data Source: CPSS (2)

#### Figure 15:

Table represents statewide totals and percentages of confirmed maltreatment through a five-year period (SFY 2019 - 2023).

Note: Although, up to six (6) types of maltreatment can be recorded per incident per victim, only the first/most serious type for each confirmed report for a victim is displayed. Sex trafficking data was not collected independently of sex abuse data until SFY 2019.

FIGURE 16: CONFIRMED MALTREATMENT BY TYPE [CHART]



Note: For Figures 17-20, the legal status is a point-in-time count, either at case closing or at the end of the SFY.

#### Figures 16:

Chart represents statewide totals and percentages of confirmed maltreatment type through a five-year period (SFY 2019 -2023). Highest percentage of confirmed maltreatment type are threatened harm and physical neglect, which are indicated in the bar graph.

FIGURE 17: SUPERVISORY LEGAL STATUS: FAMILIES AND CHILDREN [TABLE]

	SFY 2019		SFY 2	2020	SFY 2	2021	SFY	2022	SFY 2023		
Legal Status	Families	Children									
FS	478	865	452	804	398	766	377	725	303	554	
TS	42	77	36	62	19	31	24	46	20	30	
VS	10	15	3	3	5	6	3	3	6	7	
Total	530	957	491	869	422	803	404	774	329	591	

### Figure 17:

Table represents a fiveyear trend (SFY 2019 – 2023) of the number of families and children (unduplicated) by legal status, statewide.

Data Source: CPSS (1)

FIGURE 18: SUPERVISORY LEGAL STATUS: FAMILIES BY GEOGRAPHIC LOCATION ITABLE)

		SFY 2	2019			SFY	2020			SFY	2021			SFY 2	2022			SFY	2023	
Location	FS	TS	VS	Total	FS	TS	VS	Total	FS	TS	VS	Total	FS	TS	VS	Total	FS	TS	VS	Total
Oahu	237	25	10	272	209	12	2	223	168	8	2	178	167	4	0	171	136	6	2	144
East Hawaii	52	3	0	55	62	8	0	70	77	3	0	80	68	7	0	75	58	4	0	62
West Hawaii	66	4	0	70	56	1	0	57	32	0	0	32	25	4	0	29	19	4	0	23
Maui	95	6	0	101	103	9	0	112	96	3	0	99	82	5	2	89	59	5	2	66
Kauai	28	4	0	32	22	6	1	29	25	5	3	33	35	4	1	40	31	1	2	34
Total	478	42	10	530	452	36	3	491	398	19	5	422	377	24	3	404	303	20	6	329

## Figure 18:

Table represents a fiveyear trend (SFY 2019 – 2023) of the number of families (unduplicated) by legal status and geographic location.

Data Source: CPSS (1)

FIGURE 19: SUPERVISORY LEGAL STATUS: CHILDREN BY GEOGRAPHIC LOCATION [TABLE]

		SFY 2	2019			SFY	2020			SFY	2021			SFY 2	2022			SFY	2023	
Location	FS	TS	VS	Total	FS	TS	VS	Total	FS	TS	VS	Total	FS	TS	VS	Total	FS	TS	VS	Total
Oahu	445	37	15	497	380	15	2	397	315	11	3	329	320	7	0	327	254	7	2	263
East Hawaii	91	11	0	102	96	23	0	119	157	6	0	163	148	15	0	163	106	6	0	112
West Hawaii	116	6	0	122	98	1	0	99	66	0	0	66	48	5	0	53	33	6	0	39
Maui	158	14	0	172	185	11	0	196	166	4	0	170	130	8	2	140	105	9	3	117
Kauai	55	9	0	64	45	12	1	58	62	10	3	75	79	11	1	91	56	2	2	60
Total	865	77	15	957	804	62	3	869	766	31	6	803	725	46	3	774	554	30	7	591

Figure 19:

Table represents a fiveyear trend (SFY 2019-2023) of the number of children (unduplicated) by legal status and geographic location.

Data Source: CPSS (1)

FS - Family Supervision

TS - Temporary Family Supervision (court ordered)

VS - Voluntary Family Supervision

FIGURE 20: SUPERVISORY LEGAL STATUS: CHILDREN BY GEOGRAPHIC LOCATION AND AGE RANGE [TABLE]

		SFY 2	2019			SFY 2	2020			SFY	2021			SFY	2022			SFY 2	2023	
Oahu	FS	TS	VS	Total	FS	TS	VS	Total	FS	TS	VS	Total	FS	TS	VS	Total	FS	TS	VS	Total
0-5	202	17	7	226	171	10	1	182	134	8	1	143	141	6	0	147	115	3	1	119
<b>9</b> 6-11	142	11	4	157	109	2	0	111	90	2	0	92	105	0	0	105	77	1	1	79
12-18	101	9	4	114	100	3	1	104	91	1	2	94	74	1	0	75	62	3	0	65
Total	445	37	15	497	380	15	2	397	315	11	3	329	320	7	0	327	254	7	2	263
East Hawaii	FS	TS	VS	Total	FS	TS	VS	Total	FS	TS	VS	Total	FS	TS	VS	Total	FS	TS	VS	Total
0-5	39	5	0	44	43	5	0	48	56	3	0	59	60	5	0	65	45	3	0	48
<b>9</b> 6-11	29	4	0	33	33	10	0	43	61	2	0	63	48	6	0	54	34	2	0	36
12-18	23	2	0	25	20	8	0	28	40	1	0	41	40	4	0	44	27	1	0	28
Total	91	11	0	102	96	23	0	119	157	6	0	163	148	15	0	163	106	6	0	112
West Hawaii	FS	TS	VS	Total	FS	TS	VS	Total	FS	TS	VS	Total	FS	TS	VS	Total	FS	TS	VS	Total
0-5	50	5	0	55	48	0	0	48	28	0	0	28	17	1	0	18	10	2	0	12
<b>b</b> 6-11	37	1	0	38	36	1	0	37	22	0	0	22	15	2	0	17	10	2	0	12
12-18	29	0	0	29	14	0	0	14	16	0	0	16	16	2	0	18	13	2	0	15
Total	116	6	0	122	98	1	0	99	66	0	0	66	48	5	0	53	33	6	0	39
Maui	FS	TS	VS	Total	FS	TS	VS	Total	FS	TS	VS	Total	FS	TS	VS	Total	FS	TS	VS	Total
0-5 <b>v</b>	84	10	0	94	90	9	0	99	76	2	0	78	60	3	0	63	40	1	1	42
<b>b</b> 6-11	46	3	0	49	57	2	0	59	43	1	0	44	41	3	1	45	40	2	1	43
12-18	28	1	0	29	38	0	0	38	47	1	0	48	29	2	1	32	25	6	1	32
Total	158	14	0	172	185	11	0	196	166	4	0	170	130	8	2	140	105	9	3	117
Kauai	FS	TS	VS	Total	FS	TS	VS	Total	FS	TS	VS	Total	FS	TS	VS	Total	FS	TS	VS	Total
0-5 <b>v</b>	31	8	0	39	30	11	1	42	24	6	2	32	26	5	0	31	27	2	0	29
<b>b</b> 6-11	16	1	0	17	9	1	0	10	23	3	0	26	31	1	0	32	19	0	1	20
12-18	8	0	0	8	6	0	0	6	15	1	1	17	22	5		28	10	0	1	11
Total	55	9	0	64	45	12	1	58	62	10	3	75	79	11	1	91	56	2	2	60
Statewide	865	77	15	957	804	62	3	869	766	31	6	803	725	46	3	774	554	30	7	591


Figure 20:
Table represents the total children (unduplicated) by legal status, age ranges (0-5, 6-11, 12-18) in years, and geographic location through a five-year period (SFY 2019 – 2023).

FS - Family Supervision


TS - Temporary Family Supervision (court ordered)

VS - Voluntary Family Supervision

# FIGURE 21: TOTAL IN FOSTER CARE, ENTRIES, AND EXITS [CHART]



# FIGURE 22: TOTAL IN FOSTER CARE [CHART]



#### Figure 21:

Chart represents a five-year trend (SFY 2019 – 2023) of the total children in care and those who have entered and exited care during the year, statewide.

Data Source: CPSS (1)

## Figure 22:

Chart illustrates a 20-year trend (SFY 2004 – 2023) of total children in foster care, statewide.

FIGURE 23: TOTAL IN FOSTER CARE: MONTHLY AVERAGE [CHART]



FIGURE 24: TOTAL IN FOSTER CARE: PERCENT CHANGE BETWEEN SFY PERIODS [TABLE]

	Percent Change Per Year	(a)
SFY Period	Annual Number of in Foster Care Percent	Monthly Average Number in Foster Care Percent
	Change	Change
2018 – 2019	3.8%	4.8%
2019 - 2020	-3.8%	-1.4%
2020 - 2021	-5.9%	-8.9%
2021 - 2022	-7.9%	-9.5%
2022 - 2023	-15.6%	-12.9%

Percent Rise Over	Two Five-Year Periods SFY 2018 – 2022, 2	019-2023 (b)
2018 – 2022*	-13.6%	-14.7%
2019 - 2023	-29.6%	-29.2%

- (a) Calculation: (SFY 1 SFY 2)/SFY 1 = %. For example, the percentage change between SFY 2018 and 2019 calculation is: (SFY 2018 SFY 2017)/SFY2017 = %
- (b) Calculation of percentage: (SFY 5 SFY 1)/SFY 1. For example, the percentage rise between SFY 2019 2023 calculation is: (SFY 2023 SFY 2019)/SFY2019 = %

## Figure 23:

Chart illustrates the monthly average trend of total children in foster care through a 20-year SFY (2004 – 2023) period, statewide.

[Note: change in data source from SUM to report from MSO]

Data Source: CPSS (2)

## Figure 24:

Percentages are based on data in Figures 22 and 23.

- (a) Percent change over prior year through a five-year period based on the annual number and monthly average totals.
- (b) Percent rise through a SFY five-year span based on the annual and monthly average totals for two SFY periods (2018 2022 and 2019 2023).

Note: Due to error, corrections were made to reflect accurate percentages for period SFY 2018 – 2022 in table (b).\*

FIGURE 25: TOTAL IN FOSTER CARE: PERCENT BY GEOGRAPHIC AREA [CHART]




FIGURE 26: TOTAL IN FOSTER CARE: PERCENT CHANGE BY GEOGRAPHIC AREA [TABLE]

# 5-Year Change

Geographic Area	SFY 2019	SFY 2020	SFY 2021	SFY 2022	SFY 2023	Total (+/-)	Percent
Oahu	1,456	1,287	1,239	1,163	953	-503	-34.5%
East Hawaii	545	619	545	495	456	-89	-16.3%
West Hawaii	272	220	182	181	138	-134	-49.3%
Maui	371	373	381	309	268	-103	-27.8%
Kauai	140	180	173	174	144	4	2.9%
Statewide Total	2,784	2,679	2,520	2,322	1,959	-825	-29.6%

#### Figure 25:

Chart illustrates a five-year percentage trend (SFY 2019 – 2023) of the total number of children in foster care by geographic area.

Data Source: CPSS (1)

# Figure 26:


Table illustrates a five-year trend (SFY 2019 - 2023) of children in foster care and the percentage total and variance.

Percent change over five years Calculation = (First SFY – Fifth SFY); Percent = Result of Total (+/-) /First SFY Total)

FIGURE 27: TOTAL IN FOSTER CARE: ONE MONTH OR LESS [TABLE]

Children in Foster Care	SFY 2019	SFY 2020	SFY 2021	SFY 2022	SFY 2023
One Month or Less	354	292	271	257	270
Total	2,784	2,679	2,520	2,322	1,959
Percent	13%	11%	11%	11%	<u>14%</u>

FIGURE 28: TOTAL IN FOSTER CARE: LENGTH OF STAY IN MONTHS [AVERAGE, MEDIAN, MODE] [CHART]



#### Figure 27:

Table represents a five-year trend (SFY 2019 – 2023) of total children in foster care who were in care one month or less and the percentage of the overall total children in care.

Data Source: Data Source: CPSS (1)

#### Figure 28:

Chart illustrates a five-year trend (SFY 2019 – 2023) of the length of stay for children in foster care in months. The length of stay values are represented in average, median, and mode.

- Average total length in care/total number of children in care.
- Median middle point in data range with same number of data points on each side.
- Mode number that appears most frequently in the data range.


Data Source: CPSS (1)

Note: Calculation of length of stay for Figures 27 and 28: for children who exited care during the specified SFY, the total number of months a child had been in care; and for those still in care, the number of months the child had been in care as of June 30 of the specified SFY.

FIGURE 29: TOTAL IN FOSTER CARE: AGE RANGE IN YEARS [TABLE]

Age Range (In Years)	SFY 2019		SFY 2020		SF	Y 2021	SI	Y 2022	SFY 2023		
0 - 5	1,167	42%	1,070	40%	993	39%	881	38%	757	39%	
6 - 11	808	29%	766	29%	720	29%	682	29%	540	28%	
12 - 18+	809	29%	843	31%	807	32%	759	33%	662	34%	
Total	2,784	100%	2,679	100%	2,520	100%	2,322	100%	1,959	100%	
Monthly Average	1,730	NA	1,731	NA	1,555	NA	1,407	NA	1,225	NA	

FIGURE 30: TOTAL IN FOSTER CARE: AGE RANGE IN YEARS [CHART]



### Figure 29:

Table represents a five-year trend (SFY 2019 – 2023) of total children in care by age range (0-5, 6-11, and 12-18+) and the percentages based on the overall year total as the denominator.

Note: Percentage totals may not equal to 100 percent due to rounding.

Data Source: CPSS (1)

## Figure 30:

Chart illustrates the total children in foster care by age range (0-5, 6-11, 12-18+ years of age). The overall totals for children in care is indicated next to the SFY label below on the x-axis.

FIGURE 31: TOTAL IN FOSTER CARE: AGE RANGE 0-2 YEARS [CHART]





FIGURE 32: TOTAL IN FOSTER CARE: AGE RANGE 0-5 YEARS [CHART]



## Figure 31:

Chart illustrates a five-year trend (SFY 2018 - 2022) of children in care who were in the age range of 0-2 during the SFY.

Data Source: CPSS (1)

Note: Definitions for Figures 31 through 44, Age or Current Age: 1) if child exited foster care, age at date of exit; or 2) if child is still in foster care, age at end of report period. Age at Entry is the age when the child entered foster care.

#### Figure 32:

Chart illustrates a five-year trend (SFY 2019-2023) of children in care who were in the age range of 0-5 during the SFY.

FIGURE 33: TOTAL IN FOSTER CARE: AGE RANGES 0-2 AND 0-5 YEARS [CHART]





FIGURE 34: TOTAL IN FOSTER CARE: AGE RANGE 0-5 YEARS AT ENTRY [CHART]



## Figure 33:

Chart illustrates a five-year (SFY 2019 – 2023) comparison trend of total children in care by age ranges 0-2 and 0-5 years.


Data Source: CPSS (1)

# Figure 34:

Chart illustrates the total of children in who were aged 0-5 years at time of entry for SFY 2023.

Note: Figure 34 shows the current age count, in SFY 2023, for the children aged 0-5, based on the age at which they entered care. For example, of the children who entered foster care at age 0: 144 are still under 1 year of age; 168 are age 1; 121 are age 2; 126 are age 3; 94 are age 4; and 104 are age 5. Looking at children currently in care aged 3: 32 entered at 0; 31 at 1; 32 at 2, and 31 at age 3 yr.

FIGURE 35: TOTAL IN FOSTER CARE: AGE RANGE 0-5 YEARS BY ETHNIC GROUP (TOTAL) [CHART]



## Figure 35:

Chart illustrates a five-year trend (SFY 2019 – 2023) of total children in care aged 0-5 years by \*ethnic group.


Data Source: CPSS (1)

Note: For the purposes of Figures 35 and 36, ethnic groups include:

\*Asian: Cambodian, Chinese, Japanese, Korean, Laotian, Vietnamese;

\*Pacific Islander: Filipino, Guamanian, Marshallese, Micronesian, Other Pacific Islanders, Palauan, Samoan, Tongan


FIGURE 36: TOTAL IN FOSTER CARE: AGE RANGE 0-5 YEARS BY ETHNIC GROUP (PERCENT) [CHART]



# Figure 36:

Chart illustrates a five-year trend (SFY 2019 – 2023) percentage of children in care aged 0-5 years by ethnicity.

FIGURE 37: TOTAL IN FOSTER CARE: AGE RANGES 0-2 AND 0-5 YEARS BY GEOGRAPHIC LOCATION - SFY 2023 [CHART]




## Figure 37:


Chart illustrates the total of children in foster care in the 0-2 and 0-5 age range by aeographic location for SFY 2023.

## Figure 38/39:

Pie charts illustrates the total and percentages of children in foster care in the 0-2 and 0-5 age range by geographic location for SFY 2023.


FIGURE 38/39: TOTAL IN FOSTER CARE: AGE RANGES 0-2 AND 0-5 BY GEOGRAPHIC LOCATION - SFY 2023 [CHART]





#### III. EXIT FROM FOSTER CARE

## FIGURE 40: EXIT FROM FOSTER CARE: AGE RANGES 0-2 AND 0-5 YEARS BY DISCHARGE TYPE - SFY 2023 [CHART]




#### Figure 40:

Chart illustrates the total of children exiting from foster care by discharge type (reunification, adoption, and legal guardianship) for children aged 0-2 and 0-5 age for SFY 2023.

Data Source: CPSS (1)

# FIGURE 41: EXIT FROM FOSTER CARE: AGE RANGES 0-2 AND 0-5 YEARS BY DISCHARGE TYPE (PERCENT) - SFY 2023 [CHART]




#### Figure 41:

Chart illustrates the total of children exiting foster care by discharge type (reunification, adoption, and legal guardianship) for children aged 0-2 and 0-5 age by percentage for SFY 2023.


Data Source: CPSS (1)

Note: Figures 40 and 41 present two perspectives on the SFY 2023 exits from foster care by reason of reunification, adoption, or legal guardianship. Charts also indicate children who exited care when they were 0-2 and 0-5.

## FIGURE 42: EXIT FROM FOSTER CARE: AGE AT TIME OF EXIT AND AGE AT ENTRY 0-2 YEARS - SFY 2023 [CHART]



## FIGURE 43: EXIT FROM FOSTER CARE: AGE AT TIME OF EXIT AND AGE AT ENTRY 0-5 YEARS - SFY 2023 [CHART]



#### Figure 42:

Chart illustrates the age (rounded) of the children at time of exit (horizontal axis) and those who have entered care at age 0-2 by discharge type (reunification, adoption, and legal guardianship) during SFY 2023.

Data Source: CPSS (1)

#### Figure 43:

Chart illustrates the age (rounded) of the children at time of exit (horizontal axis) and those who have entered care at age 0-5 by discharge type (reunification, adoption, and legal guardianship) during SFY 2023.

FIGURE 44: EXIT FROM FOSTER CARE: AGE RANGE IN YEARS BY DISCHARGE TYPE [TABLE]

		SFY 2019		SFY 2020						
Discharge Type	0-5	6-11	12-18+	Total	% Exit	0-5	6-11	12-18+	Total	% Exit
Reunification	307	218	208	733	61%	214	173	207	594	55%
Adoption	123	54	16	193	16%	154	46	17	217	20%
Guardianship	53	65	62	180	15%	58	73	57	188	17%
Emancipation	0	0	84	84	<b>7</b> %	0	0	67	67	6%
Other	5	12	2	19	2%	0	1	9	10	1%
Total	488	349	372	1,209	100%	426	293	357	1,076	100%
Percentage	40%	29%	31%	100%		40%	27%	33%	100%	

## Figure 44:

Table illustrates the total of children exiting foster care by discharge type (reunification, adoption, emancipation, legal guardianship, other) by age group in years (0-5, 6-11, 12-18+) and the overall totals and percentage for each age group by SFYs 2019 - 2023.

Note: "Other" category includes: placement with relatives, system closure, and transfer to another agency.

Percentage totals may not equal to 100 percent due to rounding.

SFY 2021				SFY 2022				SFY 2023							
Discharge Type	0-5	6-11	12-18+	Total	% Exit	0-5	6-11	12-18+	Total	% Exit	0-5	6-11	12-18+	Total	% Exit
Reunification	200	181	185	566	50%	183	188	176	547	53%	198	163	155	516	54%
Adoption	142	74	31	247	22%	124	59	28	211	20%	115	68	22	205	21%
Guardianship	60	73	99	232	20%	53	55	88	196	19%	51	47	65	163	17%
Emancipation	0	0	87	87	8%	0	0	69	69	<b>7</b> %	0	0	73	73	<b>8</b> %
Other	2	3	2	7	1%	4	1	5	10	1%	0	2	3	5	1%
Total	404	331	404	1,139	100%	364	303	366	1,033	100%	364	280	318	962	100%
Percentage	35%	30%	35%	100%		35%	30%	35%	100%		38%	<b>29</b> %	33%	100%	

FIGURE 45: EXIT FROM FOSTER CARE: DISCHARGE TYPE [CHART]




FIGURE 46: REUNIFICATION AND EMANCIPATION RATES [TABLE]

Discharge Type	SFY 2019	SFY 2020	SFY 2021	SFY 2022	SFY 2023
Reunification	61%	55%	50%	53%	54%
Emancipation	7%	6%	8%	7%	7%

#### Figure 45:

Chart illustrates a five-year trend (SFY 2019 - 2023) percentage trend of children exiting foster care by discharge type (reunification, adoption, emancipation, and legal guardianship).

Note: The totals for each year will not equal 100 percent because the graph does not include all possible termination types.

Data Source: CPSS (1)

### Figure 46:

Table represents a five-year (SFY 2019 - 2023) percentage comparison trend of children exiting foster care by discharge type of reunification and emancipation.

FIGURE 47: TIMELY RESPONSES: COMPLETED BY CWS AND VCM [CHART]





FIGURE 48: TRENDING TIMELY RESPONSES: COMPLETED BY CWS AND VCM [CHART]



# Figure 47:

Chart illustrates a five-year percentage comparison trend (SFY 2019 - 2023) of completed timely responses for CWS and VCM.

Data Source: SHAKA

# Figure 48:

Chart illustrates a five-year percentage comparison trend (SFY 2019 - 2023) of trending timely responses for CWS and VCM.

Data Source: SHAKA

FIGURE 49: ABSENCE OF RECURRENCE OF CHILD ABUSE AND NEGLECT [CHART]





FIGURE 50: ABSENCE OF MALTREATMENT IN FOSTER CARE [CHART]



#### Figure 49:

Chart illustrates a five-year percentage trend (SFY 2019 - 2023) of absence of recurrence of child abuse and neglect to the national standard. The blue bars in the graph indicate the national standard and the SFYs that met or exceeded the standard, while the gray bars represent the SFYs that did not meet the standard.

National Stand Data Source: Adoption and Legal Guardianship Incentive Payment Program - Earning History by State: FY 1998 – FY 2021 (updated July 2022) standard = 93.9% (blue bar) or higher. Outcomes higher than 93.9% are desired.


Data Source: CPSS (2)

## Figure 50:

Chart illustrates a five-year percentage trend (SFY 2019 - 2023) of absence of recurrence of maltreatment in foster care to the national standard. The blue bars in the graph indicate the national standard and the SFYs that met or exceeded the standard, while the gray bars represent the SFYs that did not meet the standard.

National Standard = 99.7% or higher. Outcomes higher than 99.7% are desired.

FIGURE 51: CHILDREN REUNIFIED WITH PARENTS [CHART]



## Figure 51:

Chart illustrates a five-year percentage comparison trend (SFY 2019 – 2023) of children reunified with parents.

Note: This information is based on all exits from foster during the SFY. The reunification percentage is derived by dividing the number of exits by reunification by the total number of exits from foster care for that year.

## FIGURE 52: HAWAIIAN AND NON-HAWAIIAN CHILDREN IN FOSTER CARE ITABLET

#### **Entries**

Ethnicity Group	SFY 2	019	SFY 2	2020	SFY 2	2021	SFY 2	2022	SFY 2	2023
Hawaiian	490	41%	480	42%	397	42%	383	40%	231	33%
Non-Hawaiian	716	59%	652	58%	547	58%	581	60%	469	67%
Total	1,206	100%	1,132	100%	944	100%	964	100%	700	100%


#### **Total in Foster Care**

Ethnicity Group	SFY 2	2019	SFY 2	2020	SFY 2	2021	SFY 2	2022	SFY 2	2023
Hawaiian	1,240	45%	1,200	45%	1,132	45%	1,013	44%	845	43%
Non-Hawaiian	1,544	55%	1,479	55%	1,388	55%	1,309	56%	1,114	57%
Total	2,784	100%	2.679	100%	2,520	100%	2,322	100%	1,959	100%

**Emancipated** 

Ethnicity Group	SFY 2	2019	SFY:	2020	SFY 2	2021	SFY 2	2022	SFY 2	2023
Hawaiian	38	45%	26	38%	47	54%	31	45%	34	47%
Non-Hawaiian	46	55%	42	62%	40	46%	38	55%	39	53%
Total	84	100%	68	100%	87	100%	69	100%	73	100%

# FIGURE 53: HAWAIIAN CHILDREN IN FOSTER CARE, ENTRIES AND EXITS [CHART]



#### Figure 52:

Chart illustrates a five-year trend (SFY 2019 - 2023) of the total and percentage of Hawaiian/Non-Hawaiian children by entries, total in foster care, and total emancipated.

Note: Hawaiian refers to Native Hawaiian and part Native Hawaiian Children


Data Source: CPSS (1)

## Figure 53:

Chart illustrates a five-year trend (SFY 2019 - 2023) of total entries and exits of Hawaiian children in foster care.

Note: Hawaiian refers to Native Hawaiian and part Native Hawaiian Children

FIGURE 54: HAWAIIAN AND NON-HAWAIIAN CHILDREN IN FOSTER CARE: 10-YEAR TREND [CHART]




## Figure 54:

Chart illustrates a 10-year comparison trend (SFY 2014 – 2023) of Hawaiian and Non-Hawaiian children in care. Note: Hawaiian refers to Native Hawaiian and part Native Hawaiian Children

Data Source: CPSS (1)

FIGURE 55: HAWAIIAN AND NON-HAWAIIAN CHILDREN: DISCHARGE TYPE [CHART]




## Figure 55:

Chart illustrates a five-year (SFY 2019 – 2023) discharge-type trend (reunification, adoption, legal guardianship, emancipation) of Hawaiian (HA) to Non-Hawaiian (Non-HA) children by percentage.

Note: Percentages are calculated within ehtnic groups, i.e., % for Hawaiian children is based on total number of Hawaiian children leaving care in that year, % for non-Hawaiian children is based on total number of non-Hawaiian children leaving care in that year.

## FIGURE 56: CHILDREN PLACED IN RELATIVE AND NON-RELATIVE FOSTER CARE HOMES: MONTHLY AVERAGE [CHART]




#### Figure 56:

Chart illustrates a five-year trend (SFY 2019 - 2023) of the total (monthly average) children in placed in relative and non-relative foster homes.

Data Source: CPSS (2)

# FIGURE 57: CHILDREN PLACED IN RELATIVE AND NON-RELATIVE FOSTER CARE HOMES: MONTHLY AVERAGE (PERCENT) [CHART]



#### Figure 57:

Chart illustrates a five-year trend (SFY 2019 - 2023) of total monthly average of children by percent who are placed in relative and non-relative foster homes.

FIGURE 58: EXIT FROM FOSTER CARE: ADOPTION AND LEGAL GUARDIANSHIP (TOTAL) [CHART]





FIGURE 59: EXIT FROM FOSTER CARE: ADOPTION AND LEGAL GUARDIANSHIP (PERCENT) [CHART]



#### Figure 58:

Chart illustrates a five-year comparison trend (SFY 2019 - 2023) of total exits that resulted in adoption and legal guardianship.

Data Source: CPSS (1)

# Figure 59:

Chart illustrates a five-year comparison trend (SFY 2019 - 2023) of total exits that resulted in adoption and legal guardianship by percentage.


Note: This information is based on all exits from foster during the SFY for adoption and legal guardianship.

Percentages are calculated by dividing the number of exits by adoption or legal guardianship by the total number of exits from foster care for that year (962 of total exits for SFY 2023).

FIGURE 60: ADOPTION AND LEGAL GUARDIANSHIP: INCENTIVE AWARDS [TABLE]

Earning Year (FFY)	Amount	Use
2018	\$206,000	Permanency & Adoption Promotion Services
2019	\$467,000	Permanency & Adoption Promotion Services
2020	\$240,000	Permanency & Adoption Promotion Services
2021	\$1,315,000	Permanency & Adoption Promotion Services
2022	\$134,000	Permanency & Adoption Promotion Services

FIGURE 61: RE-ENTRY INTO FOSTER CARE WITHIN 12 MONTHS OF EXIT [CHART]



#### Figure 60:

Chart represents a five-year comparison trend by FFY (2018 - 2022) of total incentive awards and use.

Data Source: Adoption and Legal Guardianship Incentive Payment Program - Earning History by State: FFY 2017 – FY 2022

https://www.acf.hhs.gov/sites/default/files/documents/cb/algipp-earning-history-by-state.pdf

## Figure 61:

Chart illustrates a five-year percentage trend (SFY 2019 - 2023) of re-entries within 12 months of exiting foster care compared to the national standard. The blue bars in the graph indicate the national standard and the SFYs that met or exceeded the standard, while the gray bars represent the SFYs that did not meet the standard.

National Standard = 8.6% or fewer. The desired performance outcome is that 8.6% or fewer of all children who exited foster care would experience re-entry into foster care within 12 months of exit.

FIGURE 62: PLACEMENT STABILITY: TWO OR FEWER PLACEMENTS [CHART]




FIGURE 63: TIMELY REUNIFICATION WITHIN 12 MONTHS [CHART]



## Figure 62:

Chart illustrates a five-year percentage trend (SFY 2019 – 2023) of placement stability with two or less placement settings by percentage compared to the national standard. The blue bars in the graph indicate the national standard and the SFYs that met or exceeded the standard, while the gray bars represent the SFYs that did not meet the standard.

National Standard = 86.7% or higher. The desired performance outcome would be for 86.7% or more of the children to have no more than two placements.


Data Source: CPSS (2)

# Figure 63:

Chart illustrates a five-year percentage trend (SFY 2019 – 2023) of timely reunifications within 12 months by percentage compared to the national standard. The blue bars in the graph indicate the national standard and the SFYs that met or exceeded the standard, while the gray bars represent the SFYs that did not meet the standard.

National Standard = 76.2% or higher. Outcomes higher than 76.2% are desired.

FIGURE 64: TIMELY ADOPTION WITHIN 24 MONTHS [CHART]



## Figure 64:

Chart illustrates a five-year percentage trend (SFY 2019 – 2023) of timely adoptions within 24 months by percentage compared to the national standard. The blue bars in the graph indicate the national standard and the SFYs that met or exceeded the standard.

National Standard = 32.0% or higher. Outcomes higher than 32.0% are desired.

FIGURE 65: WORKER VISIT SURVEY: REPORTING POPULATION, MONTHS IN CARE, CASEWORKER VISITS ITABLE1

FFY	Reporting Population	Months In-Care	Caseworker Visits	In-Home Visits	% of Visits *	% of In-Home Visits**
2019	353	2,952	2,279	1,310	77%	58%
2020	2,185	18,404	15,031	9,262	82%	<b>64</b> %
2021	2,048	16,349	13,786	9,888	84%	<b>72</b> %
2022	1,841	14,854	12,851	8,494	87%	66%
2023	1,517	12,127	10,088	6,360	83%	63%

FIGURE 66: WORKER VISIT SURVEY: MONTHLY VISITS TO CHILDREN IN CARE [CHART]




Figure 65: Worker Visit Survey: Reporting Population, Months in Care, Caseworker Visits. Table represents a five-year trend (FFY 2019 – 2023) of the reporting population, months in care, and caseworker visits by totals and percentage.

#### Note:

\* Caseworker Visits /Months In-Care

\*\* In-Home Visits / Caseworker Visits

Note: Increase in reporting population is due to protocol change in FFY 2020 to address all children in care, instead sample of the children in care during the FFY

Data Source: DHS, CWS, PD (1)

## Figure 66:

Chart illustrates a five-year percentage trend (FFY 2019 – 2023) of worker monthly visits to children in care. Blue bars represent the national standard and the FFY that have met/exceeded the standard, while the gray bars indicate the standard was not met.

National Standard = 95.0% or higher. Outcomes higher than 95.0% are desired. Hawaii has not met this goal.

FIGURE 67: WORKER VISIT SURVEY: MONTHLY VISITS IN THE CHILD'S HOME [CHART]





FIGURE 68: WORKER VISIT SURVEY: REASONS FOR LACK OF VISITS - FFY 2023 [CHART]



#### Figure 67:

Chart illustrates a five-year percentage trend (FFY 2019 – 2023) of worker monthly in-home visits compared to the national standard. Blue bars represent the national standard and the FFY that have met/exceeded the standard, while the gray bars indicate the standard was not met.

National Standard = 50.0% Outcomes higher than 50.0% are desired. Hawaii has surpassed this standard each year since FFY 2014.

Data Source: DHS, CWS, PD (1)


#### Figure 68:

Chart represents a comparison of reasons for lack of caseworker visits in FFY 2023.


Note: Other category includes:

- trouble accessing youth due to strict placement rules (Youth Challenge, HYCF, Kahi Mohala).
- parents withholding child from CWS.
- youth unwilling to meet with CWS.

# FIGURE 69: 'OHANA CONFERENCES/FIRST MEETINGS AND YOUTH /IMUA KĀKOU CIRCLES [CHART]



# FIGURE 70: PLACEHOLDER



## Figure 69:

Chart illustrates a five-year proportion trend (SFY 2019 – 2023) of 'Ohana Conferences/First Meetings and Youth Circles/Imua Kākou Circles completed during each year.

Data Source: DHS, SSD,POS

Figure 70:

FIGURE 71: NOTICE OF HEARINGS AND REVIEWS TO RESOURCE CAREGIVERS [TABLE]

Written Notification	SFY 2019	SFY 2020	SFY 2021	SFY 2022	SFY 2023
Totals	71	80	80	80	72
Percent	28%	<b>72</b> %	45%	43%	39%

## Figure 71:

Table represents a five-year trend (SFY 2019 – 2023) totals and percentage trend of written notices of court hearings to resource caregivers.

Note: CFSR Item 24 – In 54 applicable foster care cases, there were 181 periodic review hearings. Of these 181 hearings, evidence of written notices to resource caregivers were identified for 72 court hearings (39%).

Data Source: HCWCQL

# FIGURE 72: CWS STAFF NEW HIRE TRAINING [TABLE]

SFY	Department	Should Have Attended		Attended	% Attended	
2019	Child Welfare Services		67	53	79%	
2017	Voluntary Case Management		13	8	62%	
		Total	80	61	76%	
0000	Child Welfare Services		28	14	50%	
2020	Voluntary Case Management		NA	NA	NA	
	,	Total	28	14	50%	
0001	Child Welfare Services		21	21	100%	
2021	Voluntary Case Management		12	9	75%	
	·	Total	33	30	91%	
0000	Child Welfare Services		14	11	79%	
2022	Voluntary Case Management		13	9	69%	
	,	Total	27	20	<b>74</b> %	
0000	Child Welfare Services		11	7	64%	
2023	Voluntary Case Management		12	10	83%	
		Total	23	17	<b>74</b> %	

# FIGURE 73: CWS NEW HIRE TRAINING PARTICIPANT ASSESSMENT - SFY 2023 [TABLE]

Question	1-5 Scale* [Average]	Percentage Extension
My learning was enhanced by the knowledge of the facilitators.	4.075	81.5%
I am satisfied with the current New Hire Training curriculum/content.	4.25	85%
As a result of completing New Hire Training, I feel my knowledge base of CWS has increased.	4.175	83.5%

#### Figure 72:

Table represents a five-year trend (SFY 2019 - 2023) of totals and percentages for CWS and Voluntary Case Management initial training attendance.

Note: Only staff that were employed for at least six months are reported.

Data Source: HCWCQL

## Figure 73:

Table represents the totals and percentage of training attendance for new hires for CWS and Voluntary Case Management for SFY 2023.

Note: Average response based on a 1-5 scale, with 1 being least positive to 5 being most positive.

Data Source: HCWCQI

FIGURE 74: CWS AND VCM STAFF ONGOING TRAINING REQUIREMENTS [TABLE]

SFY	Ongoing Training for Case Management Staff	Mana	w/ Case gement onsibility	Staff Who Met Training Requirements #	Staff Who Met Training Requirements %
2019	Child Welfare Services Voluntary Case Management	7.1.1	344 20	141 13	41% 65%
2020	Child Welfare Services Voluntary Case Management	Total	364 354 21	154 172 19	<b>42%</b> 49% 90%
2021	Child Welfare Services	Total	<b>375</b>	191 30	<b>51%</b> 30%
2021	Voluntary Case Management	Total	23 <b>123</b>	17 <b>47</b>	74% <b>38%</b>
2022	Child Welfare Services Voluntary Case Management	Total	100 25 <b>125</b>	6 20 <b>26</b>	6% 80% <b>21%</b>
2023	Child Welfare Services Voluntary Case Management	. 3141	85 26	22 24	26% 92%
		Total	111	46	41%

FIGURE 75: RESOURCE CAREGIVER AND ADOPTIVE PARENT ONGOING TRAINING [TABLE]

Caregiver Type	SFY 2017	SFY 2018	SFY 2019	SFY 2020	SFY 2021
Resource Caregivers	303	295	322	278	707**
Adoptive Parents	60	79	32	15	***
Legal Guardians	8	11	11	10	***
Total	371	385	365	303	707

#### Figure 74:

Table represents a five-year period (SFY 2019 - 2023) of totals and percentage of ongoing training requirements met for CWS and Voluntary Case Management staff.

Note: Only staff that were employed for at least one full year are reported. Staff are required to have 15 hours of training annually.

Data Source: HCWCQL

#### Figure 75:

Table illustrates a five-year trend (SFY 2017 - 2021) for on-going training of resource caregivers and adoptive parents.

\*SFY2021 there were no in-person trainings offered due to COVID-19 Pandemic

\*\*SFY2021 data started being collected on individual resource caregiver attendance

\*\*\*Adoptive Parents and Legal Guardians was not collected and has been added to the revised QAR

Data Source: Partners in Development Foundation

FIGURE 76: FOSTER PARENT COLLEGE ONLINE TRAINING [TABLE]

Participation	SFY 2017	SFY 2018	SFY 2019	SFY 2020	SFY 2021	Total
Participated	15	27	40	45	49	176
New Participants	3	16	42	25	NA	86
Hours Completed	76	128	186	208	NA	589

## FIGURE 77: VIRTUAL MODE FOR RESOURCE CAREGIVER TRAINING [TABLE]

#### Resource Caregiver Virtual Training – SFY 2021

Virtual Mode	Resource Caregivers	Event
Lending Library	359	NA
Webinar	707	27
Total	1,066	NA

# FIGURE 78: STATEWIDE TARGETED RECRUITMENT OF RESOURCE CAREGIVERS ITABLE!

	SFY 2	2019	SFY 2	2020	SFY 2021		
Resource Caregivers Who Are:	Submitted Campaigns (families)		Submitted Campaigr (families)		Submitted (individuals)	Campaigns	
Native Hawaiian Ancestry	27	NA	14	70	39	27	
Open to Care For:							
Teens	11	NA	14	68	20	31	
Siblings	8	NA	18	61	18	20	
LGBQT + Teen	71	NA	48	24	77	8	
Human Trafficking Victims	NA	NA	14	1	52	3	
Total Submitted (Individuals)					96		
Total Submitted (Families)	77		55		55		

#### Figure 76:

Table illustrates a five-year trend (SFY 2017 - 2021) of resource caregiver participation in the Foster Parent College online training.

Data Source: Partners in Development Foundation

#### Figure 77:

Table illustrates totals for virtual training participation (Lending Library, Webinar) of resource caregivers in SFY 2021.

Data Source: Partners in Development Foundation

# Figure 78:

Table illustrates a three-year trend (SFY 2019 - 2021) of resource caregiver recruitment efforts. Totals include the number of submissions to DHS and campaigns conducted by ethnicity of Native Hawaiian Ancestry and care criteria (teens, siblings (3 or more), LGBTQ+ teens, and human trafficking victims.

Note: Numbers for families/individuals and campaigns are duplicated across target areas.

Data Source: Partners in Development Foundation

FIGURE 79: LICENSED RESOURCE CAREGIVER HOMES OPENED BY TYPE - SFY 2023 [CHART]




FIGURE 80: RATIO OF RESOURCE CAREGIVER HOMES TO CHILDREN IN CARE [TABLE]

RCG Homes/Children in Care	SFY 2019	SFY 2020	SFY 2021	SFY 2022	SFY 2023
RCG Homes (CFH, SLR, SLH)	1,182	1,194	1,145	1,533	843
Children in Care (monthly average)	1,730	1,706	1,555	1,407	1,225
Ratio	0.68	0.70	0.74	1.09	.69

#### Figure 79:

Table illustrates the total of resource caregiver (RCG) homes opened by type: general (non-relative), relative, kin/other, emergency, other/unlicensed for SFY 2023.

Data Source: CPSS (1)

#### Figure 80:

Table represents a five-year ratio trend (SFY 2019 - 2023) of resource caregiver homes in proportion to the average of monthly children in care.

Note: RCG includes only RCG with facility type general (CFH), child specific (SLH) or relative (SLR) with an unconditional or provisional license status. Ratio calculation is the total resource caregivers/children in care.

FIGURE 81: RATIO OF RESOURCE CAREGIVER HOMES TO CHILDREN IN CARE [CHART]





FIGURE 82: RESOURCE CAREGIVER HOMES AND MONTHLY AVERAGE OF CHILDREN IN CARE [CHART]



#### Figure 81:

Chart illustrates a five-year trend (SFY 2019 - 2023) of resource caregiver ratio to children in care.

#### Note:

\*Calculation of ratio: Total Resource Caregivers/Children in Care (monthly average)

Data Source: CPSS (1)

## Figure 82:

Chart illustrates a five-year (SFY 2019 - 2023) total comparison of the resource caregiver (RCG) homes to children in care. The ratio (line graph overlay) is the number of RGC homes per child needing a placement. This figure contains an overlay of Figure 81.

#### Note:

- RCG includes facility types: general (CFH), child specific (SLH) or relative (SLR) with an unconditional or provisional license status.
- The bar chart for SFY 2019-2023 show the monthly average number of children in care.

FIGURE 83: MULTI-ETHNIC REPORT ON CHILDREN IN FOSTER CARE AND RESOURCE CAREGIVERS - SFY 2023

	Child	lren in Care	Resource Caregivers			
Ethnicity	Total	Percent	Total	Percent		
American Indian	17	1%	15	1%		
Alaskan Native	2	0%	4	0%		
Black	48	2%	64	4%		
Cambodian	3	0%	1	0%		
Chinese	12	1%	19	0%		
Chuukese - Federated States of Micronesia	100	5%	20	1%		
Filipino	107	5%	205	12%		
Guamanian/Chamorro	7	0%	2	0%		
Hawaiian	845	43%	777	44%		
Hispanic/Spanish origin	58	3%	104	6%		
Japanese	35	2%	93	5%		
Korean	9	0%	16	1%		
Kosraean - Federated States of Micronesia	4	0%	0	0%		
Laotian	1	0%	3	0%		
Mixed (not part Hawaiian/not part Hispanic)	167	9%	41	2%		
Marshallese - Republic of the Marshall Island	30	2%	12	1%		
Other Pacific Islander	19	1%	15	1%		
Palauan - Republic of Palau	0	0%	2	0%		
Pohnpeian - Federated States of Micronesia	3	0%	2	0%		
Samoan - American Samoa & Independent State	48	2%	64	4%		
Tongan	8	0%	10	1%		
Unable to Determine	59	3%	60	3%		
Vietnamese	0	0%	1	0%		
White (Caucasian)	377	19%	635	36%		
Yapese - Federated States of Micronesia	0	0%	0	0%		
Missing or Invalid	0	0%	2	0%		
Total Total Resource Caregiver Homes	1,959		2,167 1,768			

#### Figure 83:

Table represents the total and percentage comparison of children in care and resource caregivers by ethnicity in SFY 2023.

Note: The numbers in this table are unduplicated. However, some resource homes have more than one resource caregiver. Thus, the total number of resource caregivers is greater than the total number of resource homes. The ethnicity of each resource caregiver is provided here.

The resouce caregiver percentage is the number of resource caregivers with that ethnicity out of the total number of resource homes, therefore the total percentage is more than 100%.

#### X. CONTRACTED SERVICES

## FIGURE 84: FAMILY FIRST HAWAII SERVICES: START DATES AND REGIONS [TABLE]

Services	Start Date	Region				
Intensive Homebased Services Homebuilders	October 1, 2021	Oahu, Hawaii Island				
Home Visiting Services		Oahu: Greater Leeward Oahu: Greater Honolulu				
Parents as Teachers (PAT)	March 1, 2022	Hawaii Island Maui, Kauai County				
Home Visiting Services Healthy Families America (HFA)	March 1, 2022	Oahu: Windward, North Shore				

# FIGURE 85: FAMILY FIRST HAWAII: HOME VISITING SERVICES - MARCH 1, 2022 TO FEBRUARY 27, 2024 [TABLE]

Region	Families	Children
Oahu (PAT & HFA)	93	147
East Hawaii (PAT)	21	34
West Hawaii (PAT)	6	7
Maui (PAT)	27	30
Kauai (PAT)	15	22
Total	162	240

#### Figure 85:

Figure 84:

Table represents total families and children served for the Family First Hawaii (FFH) program for home visiting services (PAT & HFA) from March 1, 2022 to February 27, 2024.

Table represents services, start date and regions implemented statewide.

Data Source: DHS, CWS, PD (1)

Data Source: DHS, CWS, PD (1)

# FIGURE 86: FAMILY FIRST HAWAII: INTENSIVE HOME-BASED SERVICES - OCTOBER 1, 2021 TO FEBRUARY 27, 2024 [TABLE]

Region	Families	Children
Oahu	31	81
East Hawaii	18	32
West Hawaii	4	13
Total	53	126

# Figure 86:

Table represents total families and children served for the Family First Hawaii (FFH) program for intensive home-based services from October 1, 2021 to February 27, 2024.

## XI. CHILD FATALITIES

# FIGURE 87: CHILD FATALITIES CONFIRMED FOR MALTREATMENT [TABLE]

Child Fatalities	SFY 2019	SFY 2020	SFY 2021	SFY 2022	SFY 2023
Total	5	0	2	4	0

# Figure 87:

Table represents a five-year trend (SFY 2019 – 2023) for total child deaths while in an active CWS case, confirmed for maltreatment.

Note: A fifth child death that occurred in SFY 2022 is not included because the data was pulled on 10/31/2022 and at that time there was no disposition that maltreatment occurred. Also, totals for the five-year period (SFY 2019 – 2023) in the table have been recalculated due to changes in the method of data extraction.

## FIGURE 88: CWS STAFFING: POSITIONS AND VACANCIES [TABLE]

Total and Vacant Positions	May 2020	June 2021	January 2022	February 2023	February 2024
Total Positions	402	394	394	402	383
Vacant Position	77	56	82	129	116
Vacancy Percentage	19%	14%	21%	32%	30%

## FIGURE 89: CWS STAFFING: AVERAGE CASELOAD [TABLE]

Region	February 2020	December 2020	March 2022	February 2023	February 2024
Oahu	18	16	25	33	28
East Hawaii	40	48	21	54	49
West Hawaii	31	28	23	49	24
Maui	57	42	44	53	51
Kauai	17	15	24	28	28
Total	25	24	26	39	34

# FIGURE 90: CWS STAFFING: POSITIONS FILLED AND VACANT - FEBRUARY 2024 [TABLE]

Positions	Current Staff	Vacancies	% Vacancies	Total Positions
Secretaries and Clerks	37	11	23%	48
Aides	28	21	43%	49
Assistants	44	18	29%	62
Eligibility Workers	9	0	0%	9
Caseworkers	102	61	37%	163
Line Supervisors	28	2	<b>7</b> %	30
Administrators	19	3	14%	22
Total	267	116	30%	383

#### Figure 88:

Table represents a comparison of total CWS positions over vacancies and percentage through a five-year period, statewide.

Data Sources: DHS, CWS, PD (1)

## Figure 89:

Table represents total average caseloads across filled caseworker positions at selected month/year intervals by region and statewide.

Data Source: DHS, CWS, PD (1)

## Figure 90:

Table represents the total CWS staff positions that are filled compared to the total number of vacancies and the percentage of vacancies for each position statewide as of February 2024.

FIGURE 91: CWS STAFFING: POSITIONS FILLED AND VACANT - FEBRUARY 2024 [CHART]





FIGURE 92: CWS STAFFING: AGE RANGE DISTRIBUTION - FEBRUARY 2024 [CHART]



# Figure 91:

Chart illustrates the total proportion of CWS staff positions that are filled compared to the total number of each position statewide as of February 2024.

Data Source: DHS, CWS, PD (1)

## Figure 92:

Chart represents the age range composition of CWS staff positions as of February 2024. Percentage is based on a total of 267 responses.

FIGURE 93: CWS STAFFING: HIGHEST LEVEL OF EDUCATION - FEBRUARY 2024 [CHART]





FIGURE 94: CWS STAFFING: ETHNIC GROUP (SELF-REPORTED) - FEBRUARY 2024 [CHART]



#### Figure 93:

Chart represents the education level for all CWS staff positions as of February 2024. Percentage is based on a total of 267 responses.

- Associate's degree (AA, AS, etc.)
- Bachelor's degree (BA, BS, BSW, etc.)
- Master's degree (MA, MS, MSW, etc.)

Data Source: DHS, CWS, PD (1)

## Figure 94:

Chart illustrates the percentage of staff ethnicity, employed as of February 2024. Percentage is based on 267 responses.


Note: \*Ethnicities were grouped for the following:

Asian - Chinese, Filipino, Japanese/Okinawan, Korean, Vietnamese, Other Asian

Pacific Islander – Guamanian/Chamorro, Palauan, Samoan, Tongan, Other Pacific Islander

American Indian – Includes Native Alaskan

FIGURE 95: CWS STAFFING: LENGTH OF EMPLOYMENT (SELF-REPORTED) - FEBRUARY 2024 [CHART]



## Figure 94:

Chart illustrates the percentage of length of CWS staff employment as of February 2024. Percentage is based on a total of 267 responses.

FIGURE 96: FOSTER YOUTH IN DETENTION CENTERS [TABLE]

Facility Type	SFY 2019	SFY 2020	SFY 2021	SFY 2022	SFY 2023
Detention Home	23	22	17	23	19
Hawaii Youth Correctional Facility	4	3	5	6	16
Total Unduplicated	25	24	18	25	35
Total in Foster Care	2,787	2,679	2,520	2,322	1,959
Percentage of Foster Youth	0.9%	0.9%	0.7%	1.078%	1. <b>79</b> %

# FIGURE 97: FOSTER YOUTH IN DETENTION CENTERS [CHART]




FIGURE 98: LENGTH OF STAY FREQUENCY IN DETENTION CENTERS [TABLE]

	Total Months (3F1 2023)										
Youth	Less than 1	1	2	3	4	5	6	8	9	10+	Total
Total	10	5	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	19
Percent	53%	26%	5%	5%	0	5%	0	0	0	5%	100%

Total Months (CEV 0000)

Note: length of stay is based on the total number of months a child had been in detention for those who exited detention; and for those still in detention, the number of months the child had been in detention as June 30, 2020

#### Figure 96:

Table represents a five-year trend (SFY 2019 - 2023) of total foster detention and correction facilities (unduplicated) and the percentage proportion based on total children in foster care.

Data Source: CPSS (1)

#### Figure 97:

Chart represents a five-year trend (SFY 2019 - 2023) comparison of total foster youth in detention and correctional facilities (unduplicated) and the percentage proportion based on total children in foster care.

Data Source: CPSS (1)

## Figure 98:

Table represents the total of youth length of stay in detention centers from 0-10+ months statewide in SFY 2023.

FIGURE 99: DOMESTIC VIOLENCE SERVICES ITABLE


	SFY 202	2	SFY 202	3	% Change SFY 2022 - 2023		
Services	Children/ Youth	Adult Victims	Children/ Youth	Adult Victims	Children/ Youth	Adult Victims	
Crisis Intervention	192	752	163	603	-15%	-20%	
Advocacy Services	312	879	216	673	-31%	-23%	
Individual or Group Counselling & Support Group	167	783	132	641	-21%	-18%	
Criminal / Civil Legal Advocacy	0	322	0	295	0	-8%	
Medical Accompaniment	0	39	0	24	0	-38%	
Transportation	0	1,816	0	3,042	0	68%	
Total	671	4,590	511	5,278	-24%	13%	

## Figure 99:

Table represents a two-year comparison (SFY 2022 - 2023) of domestic violence services provided for children/youth and adult victims in shelters statewide for. The percentage change between the two SFYs for the victim groups are also indicated in the table.

Note: Participants are only counted once per service, but because they may have received more than one service, the total served may include numbers that are unduplicated within a service but may be duplicated across services.

# FIGURE 100: ALLEGED VICTIMS OF HUMAN TRAFFICKING BY GENDER [CHART]




#### Figure 100:

Chart represents a five-year trend (SFY 2019 – 2021, FFY 2022 - 2023) of total alleged victims of human trafficking by gender.

Note: \*Other includes: transgender male/female, gender non-conforming, unknown/undocumented gender, etc. There are no totals for SFY 2019 – 2021.

Data Source: DHS, CWS, PD (2)

FIGURE 101: ALLEGED VICTIMS OF HUMAN TRAFFICKING BY GENDER - (SFY 2019 - 2021, FFY 2022 - 2023) [CHART]



#### Figure 101:

Chart represents a five-year overall total (SFY 2019 – 2021, FFY 2022 - 2023) of alleged victims of human trafficking by gender.


Note: \*Other includes: transgender male/female, gender non-conforming, unknown/undocumented gender, etc.

FIGURE 102: ALLEGED VICTIMS OF HUMAN TRAFFICKING BY AGE [TABLE]

# Grouping by Years of Age

SFY/FFY	(1-9	<12	12	13	10-13	14	15	16	17	14-17	UNK
SFY 2019		5	1	8		10	29	19	11		7
SFY 2020		9	0	13		15	19	23	13		4
SFY 2021		7	3	2		9	10	19	21		8
FFY 2022	18				10					41	0
FFY 2023	2				17					38	0
Total	20	21	4	23	27	34	58	61	45	79	19

## FIGURE 103: ALLEGED VICTIMS OF HUMAN TRAFFICKING BY AGE [CHART]



#### Figure 102:

Table represents a five-year trend (SFY 2019 – 2021, FFY 2022 – 2023) of total alleged victims of human trafficking by age range.

Note: During FFY 2022, the protocol for capturing and reporting the age of the alleged victims was changed to the following age groupings: 0-9,10-13,14-17 years. The data in the table from SFY 2019 - 2021 is retained here for historic purposes.

Data Source: DHS, CWS, PD (2)

#### Figure 103:

Chart illustrates a four-year trend (SFY 2018 – 2021) of the total alleged victims of human trafficking by age.

Note: During FFY 2022, the protocol for capturing and reporting the age of the alleged victims was changed to the following age groupings – 0-9, 10-13, 14-17 years. Thus, the data in this chart is retained for historical purposes only.

FIGURE 104: ALLEGED VICTIMS OF HUMAN TRAFFICKING BY AGE RANGE IN YEARS - FFY 2022, 2023 [CHART]





FIGURE 105: ALLEGED VICTIMS OF HUMAN TRAFFICKING BY ETHNICITY [CHART]



#### Figure 104:

Chart represents a two-year comparison (FFY 2022 – 2023) of the total alleged victims of human trafficking by age range in years (0 - 17).

Note: During FFY 2022, the protocol for capturing and reporting the age of the alleged victims was changed to the following age range groupings: 0-9,10-13,14-17 years.


Data Source: DHS, CWS, PD (2)

#### Figure 105:

Chart represents a five-year trend (SFY 2019 - 2021, FFY 2022 – 2023) of total alleged victims of human trafficking by ethnicity.

Note: Change in year period from SFY to FFY starting in FFY 2022.

## FIGURE 106: ALLEGED VICTIMS OF HUMAN TRAFFICKING BY ETHNICITY - (SFY 2019 - 2021, FFY 2022 - 2023) [CHART]



## Figure 106:

Chart represents a five-year period (SFY 2019 - 2021, FFY 2022 - 2023) composite by percentage of human trafficking alleged victims by ethnicity.

Data Source: DHS, CWS, PD (2)

## FIGURE 107: HUMAN TRAFFICKING REFERRAL SOURCE [TABLE]


Source	SFY 2019	SFY 2020	SFY 2021	FFY 2022	FFY 2023	Total
Legal/Law Enforcement	20	29	20	57	58	184
Service Provider	22	8	9	0	0	39
Hospital	5	7	11	0	0	23
Department of Human Services	5	14	5	0	0	24
Anonymous	6	9	12	1	0	28
Relative /Neighbor	6	8	6	3	6	29
Other	6	6	1	12	0	25
Department of Education	10	1	4	0	0	15
National Hot Line	5	6	8	0	0	19
Medical / Mental Health	4	5	3	0	0	12
Detention Home	1	3	0	0	0	4
Total	90	96	79	73	64	402

#### Figure 107:


Table represents a five-year trend (SFY 2019 – 2021, FFY 2022 – 2023) of the total referrals for alleged victims of human trafficking by referral source.

Note: Change in year period from SFY to FFY starting in FFY 2022.

## FIGURE 108: HUMAN TRAFFICKING LEGAL/LAW ENFORCEMENT REFERRAL SOURCE [CHART]



# FIGURE 109: HUMAN TRAFFICKING REFERRAL SOURCE (SFY 2019 - 2021, FFY 2022 - 2023) [CHART]



#### Figure 108:

Chart illustrates a five-year trend (SFY 2018 – 2022, FFY 2022 – 2023) for alleged victims of human trafficking from legal/law enforcement referral source.

Data Source: DHS, CWS, PD (2)

# Figure 109:

Chart illustrates a five-year composite (SFY 2019 – 2021, FFY 2022 – 2023) of the total of alleged victims of human trafficking by referral source.

# FIGURE 110: HUMAN TRAFFICKING REPORTS BY ISLAND/COUNTY [CHART]





FIGURE 111: HUMAN TRAFFICKING REPORTS BY EXPLOITATION TYPE [CHART]



## Figure 110:

Chart illustrates a five-year trend (SFY 2019 - 2021, FFY 2022 - 2023) of human trafficking victims by island/county.

Note: Other includes mainland and international.


Data Source: DHS, CWS, PD (2)

# <u>Figure 111:</u>


Chart illustrates a five-year trend (SFY 2019 - 2021, FFY 2022 - 2023) of human trafficking reports by exploitation type.

Note: Combination includes a multi-type exploitation of commercial, familial, or labor trafficking.

FIGURE 112: HUMAN TRAFFICKING REPORTS BY TYPE (SFY 2019 - 2021, FFY 2022 - 2023) [CHART]



# FIGURE 113: HUMAN TRAFFICKING REPORTS BY DISPOSITION [CHART]



## Figure 112:


Chart illustrates the percentage of human trafficking reports submitted by report type for SFY 2019 - 2021, FFY 2022 - 2023.

Data Source: DHS, CWS, PD (2)

# Figure 113:

Chart illustrates a five-year trend (SFY 2019 – 2021, FFY 2022 – 2023) of the total human trafficking reports submitted by disposition type.

FIGURE 114: HUMAN TRAFFICKING REPORTS BY DISPOSITION (PERCENT) [CHART]




# Figure 114:

Chart illustrates a five-year trend (SFY 2019 - 2021, FFY 2022 - 2023) of the percentage of human trafficking dispositions.

FIGURE 115: YOUTH AND YOUNG ADULTS RECEIVING INDEPENDENT LIVING SERVICES ITABLET

Age Group/Services	Oahu	East HI	West HI	Maui	Kauai	Total
Ages 12-17 Years	14	58	8	4	11	95
lmua Kākou	91	60	6	33	14	204
Higher Education	54	51	15	23	5	148
Ages 18-26*	113	46	18	6	10	193
Total	272	215	47	66	40	640

# FIGURE 116: NATIONAL YOUTH IN TRANSITION DATABASE (NYTD): SERVICES PROVIDED - FFY 2023 [CHART]



#### Figure 115:

Table illustrates the total youth and young adults who received independent living services by geographic location for SFY 2023.

\* Not Imua Kākou or Higher Education

Data Source: DHS, SSD, POS


#### Figure 116:

Chart represents the DHS funded independent living services and the percentage of all youth and young adults participating in the specific services/activity for FFY 2023. An individual may participate in more than one activity.

\* Room and Board Financial Assistance = Hawaii Education Stipend Administration

Data Source: SHAKA

# FIGURE 117: NATIONAL YOUTH IN TRANSITION DATABASE (NYTD): PARTICIPATION BY GENDER - FFY 2023 [CHART]




# Figure 117:

Chart illustrates percentage of services provided for independent living services by gender for FFY 2023.

Data Source: SHAKA

# FIGURE 118: NATIONAL YOUTH IN TRANSITION DATABASE (NYTD): PARTICIPATION BY RACE - FFY 2023 [CHART]




# Figure 118:


Chart represents percentage of services provided for independent living services by race for FFY 2023.

Data Source: SHAKA

FIGURE 119: NATIONAL YOUTH IN TRANSITION DATABASE: PARTICIPATION BY GRADE LEVEL - FFY 2023 [CHART]



# FIGURE 120: YOUTH PARTICIPANTS AND YOUTH/IMUA KĀKOU CIRCLES [CHART]



#### Figure 119:

Chart represents percentage of services provided for independent living services by race for FFY 2023.

Data Source: SHAKA

# Figure 120:


Chart represents a five-year trend (SFY 2019 – 2023) of total youth participants and youth/Imua Kākou circles completed.

Data Source: DHS, SSD, POS

FIGURE 121: HIGHER EDUCATION ASSISTANCE: STIPENDS FOR NEW AND RETURNING STUDENTS [TABLE]

Cabaal Vass	Nava Charlenda	Balannia a Charlanta	Total Students	Unduplicated
School Year	New Students	Returning Students	Per SFY	Program to Date
2019 - 2020	81	182	263	2,094
2020 - 2021	75	181	256	2,169
2021 - 2022	76	192	268	2,245
2022 - 2023	36	190	226	2,281
2023 - 2024	49	163	212	1,996

# FIGURE 122: HIGHER EDUCATION ASSISTANCE: STIPENDS FOR NEW AND RETURNING STUDENTS [CHART]



#### Figure 121:

Table represents a five-year school period comparison of total new and returning students for higher education stipends.

Note: Prior school years were subject to data flux due to new or revised data entered since extraction for prior report.

Data Source: CPSS (1)

#### Figure 122:

Chart represents a five-year school period comparison of total new and returning students for higher education stipends.


Note Prior school years, were subject to data flux due to new or revised data entered since extraction for prior report.

Data Source: CPSS (1)

FIGURE 123: EDUCATION AND TRAINING VOUCHERS: NEW AND RETURNING STUDENTS [TABLE]

School Year	New Students	Returning Students	*Total Students Per SFY	Unduplicated Program to Date
2019 - 2020	24	23	48	465
2020 - 2021	16	28	44	481
2021 - 2022	22	51	73	503
2022 - 2023	11	34	45	514
2023 - 2024	12	16	38	481

# FIGURE 124: EDUCATION AND TRAINING VOUCHERS: NEW AND RETURNING STUDENTS [CHART]



# Figure 123:

Table represents a comparison of a fiveyear school period comparison of total new and returning students for training youchers.

Data Source: CPSS (1)

#### Figure 124:

Table represents a comparison of a fiveyear school period comparison of total new and returning students for training youchers.

Data Source: CPSS (1)

# FIGURE 125: YOUNG ADULTS RECEIVING IMUA KĀKOU OR EXTENDED ASSISTANCE [TABLE]

# Monthly Average

Program	SFY 2019	SFY 2020	SFY 2021	SFY 2022	SFY 2023
Extended Adoption Assistance Payments				-	
Title IV-E	0	0	0	4	3
(Non) Title IV-E	2	1	1	3	1
*Total	2	1	1	6	4
Kin Extended Guardianship Assistance Payments					
Non	3	3	1	6	7
Title IV-E	1	1	2	4	3
(Non) Title IV-E	2	1	3	0	0
* Total	6	5	6	10	10
Imua Kākou Young Adults	-			-	
Title IV-E	87	104	107	110	77
(Non) Title IV-E	42	45	59	57	39
*Total	129	149	166	166	116
lmua Kākou Keiki					
Title IV-E	18	26	20	19	14
(Non) Title IV-E	9	5	3	4	1
*Total	27	31	23	23	16
Total Participants Monthly Average	163	186	196	206	146

# **Number of Unduplicated Participants**

Program	SFY 2019	SFY 2020	SFY 2021	SFY 2022	SFY 2023
Extended Adoption Assistance Payments	2	1	1	8	5
Extended Guardian Assistance Payments	7	6	11	15	14
lmua Kākou*	182	198	232	253	145
Total	191	205	244	276	164

#### Figure 125:

Table represents a five-year trend (SFY 2019 – 2023) of young adults receiving Imua Kākou or extended assistance monthly average totals.

Note: Imua Ka Kou Keiki are the children of the Imua Kākou Young Adult participants. \*SFY monthly average totals may not necessary equal to the sum of the SFY column for the programs. Totals are based on the montly average for the SFY, calculation: (total for SFY)/12 months.

Extended - Extended (beyond age 18) Adoption Assistance Payments or Guardianship Assistance Payments


Imua Kākou - Voluntary care until age 21

Title IV-E - Federally Funded through the Social Security Act Title IV-E


(Non) Title IV-E - State Funded

Data Source: CPSS (1)

# FIGURE 126: TITLE IV-E CASES FOR IMUA KĀKOU OR EXTENDED ASSISTANCE [CHART]



# FIGURE 127: IMUA KĀKOU APPLICATIONS [CHART]



#### Figure 126:

Chart represents a five-year trend (SFY 2019 – 2023) percentage comparison of Title IV-E and Non-Title IV-E programs.

EXT AAP – Extended Adoption Assistance Payments (beyond age 18)

EXT GAP – Extended Guardianship Assistance Payments (beyond age 18)

IK YA – Imua Kākou Young Adult (beyond age 18)

Data Source: CPSS (1)

# Figure 127:


Chart represents a five-year trend (SFY 2019 – 2023) of total Imua Kākou applications determined for eligibility and referred for services.

Note: "Other" includes all applications for which there was not a determination of eligible, ineligible or referred for other services.

All "ineligible" disposition values are indicated outside the bar graph because of its lesser value compared to other application dispositions.

Data Source: SHAKA

# FIGURE 128: IMUA KĀKOU APPLICATION INELIGIBILITY REASONS [CHART]



# Figure 128:

Chart represents a five-year trend (SFY 2019 – 2023) comparison of reasons why applications for Imua Kākou were ineligible for services.

Note: Other includes youth under 18; not participating in an eligible category, Active 587A case, not a former foster youth, Unknown

Data Source: SHAKA

# FIGURE 129: TITLE IV-B. SUBPART I CHILD CARE, FOSTER CARE, AND ADOPTION ASSISTANCE COMPARISON [TABLE]

#### FFY Actual 2020 2021 2022 2023 Planned 2024 IV-B, 1 IV-B. 1 IV-B. 1 Services State IV-B. 1 State IV-B. 1 State State State Child Care \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 Foster Care \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 Adoptions Assistance \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00

# FIGURE 130: TITLE IV-B-2 ESTIMATED EXPENDITURES FOR FFY 2025 [TABLE]

Service	Service Description	Location	Percentage	Amount
Family Preservation	Promoting Safe and Stable Families Ka'u Hawaii	Statewide	20%	\$ 215,937.00
Family Support	FSS/VCM	Statewide	25%	\$ 269,920.00
Family Reunification	Comprehensive Counselling and Support Services	West Hawaii & Maui	35%	\$ 377,888.00
Adoption Promotion	Post Permanency Support Services	Statewide	20%	\$ 215,937.00
Total			100%	\$ 1,079,682.00

#### Figure 129:

Table represents a FFY trend comparison of child and foster care and adoption assistance for years 2020 – 2023 and 2024 planned.

Data Source: DHS, FMO

#### Figure 130:

Table represents Title IV- B-2 estimated expenditures for FFY 2025 by service category, description, location, percentage allocation and total amounts.

Data Source: DHS, SSD, SSO

#### XVII. CHILD AND FAMILY SERVICES REVIEW (CFSR)

This report presents the findings from the Hawaii Child Welfare Continuous Quality Improvement (HCWCQI) Project's Case Reviews for the State of Hawaii, Department of Human Services (DHS), Child Welfare Services (CWS). The review is designed to help Hawaii:

- Ensure that CWS practice conforms to federal child welfare requirements.
- Determine what is actually happening to children and families that are engaged with CWS.
- Enhance Hawaii's capacity to help children and families achieve positive outcomes.

The goal of the reviews is to achieve the following seven outcomes for families and children who receive services:

#### **SAFETY OUTCOMES**

# 1. Children are, first and foremost, protected from abuse and neglect.

# 2. Children are safely maintained in their homes whenever possible and appropriate.

#### **PERMANENCY OUTCOMES**

- 1. Children have permanency and stability in their living situations.
- 2. The continuity of family relationships and connections is preserved for families.

#### **WELL-BEING OUTCOMES**

- Families have enhanced capacity to provide for their children's needs.
- 2. Children receive appropriate services to meet their educational needs.
- 3. Children receive appropriate services to meet their physical and mental health needs.

The case reviewers thoroughly read the case files including the CPSS database and interviewed caseworkers, supervisors, parents, children, resource caregivers, service providers, and/or Guardians ad Litem. HCWCQI staff assured the results' quality, validity, and accuracy during the on-site review through at least two levels of quality assurance.

Due to COVID -19, from April 1, 2020 to June 30, 2022, the case reviews were conducted by a single trained reviewer from the HCWCQI team. A portion of cases was selected for a third level of QA review by a federal Administration for Children & Families (ACF) representative.

A period under review (PUR) was identified for each review beginning January 1, April 1, July 1, or October 1 of the prior year and ending on the first day that the case is reviewed.

Ninety-five (95) cases were randomly selected from both foster care and in-home cases in CPSS. Between 10 and 17 cases were selected from each CWS Section. The neighbor island sections included cases from their corresponding Voluntary Case Management (VCM) units. Oahu VCM held a separate review with six cases. To be eligible for review, an in-home case must have been opened for at least 45 consecutive days during the period under review, and a foster care case must have a child in foster care for at least 24 hours during the sampling period. For foster care cases, reviewers focused on one target child. For in-home child welfare cases, reviews focused on all children in the home.

FIGURE C: CFSR FIVE-YEAR STRENGTH RATING RESULTS SUMMARY

CFSR Items	Performance Item	SFY 2019	SFY 2020	SFY 2021	SFY 2022	SFY 2023
Item 1:	Timeliness of Initiating Investigations of Reports of Child Maltreatment	59%	63%	58%	86%	71%
Item 2:	Services to Family to Protect Child(ren) in the Home and Prevent Removal or Re-Entry Into Foster Care	31%	28%	70%	82%	67%
Item 3:	Risk Assessment and Safety Management	36%	20%	43%	63%	51%
Item 4:	Stability of Foster Care Placement	70%	70%	77%	84%	84%
Item 5:	Permanency Goal for Child	50%	42%	60%	81%	65%
Item 6:	Achieving Reunification, Guardianship, Adoption, or Another Planned Living Arrangement	25%	28%	43%	70%	41%
Item 7:	Placement With Siblings	93%	97%	86%	92%	92%
Item 8:	Visiting With Parents and Siblings in Foster Care	35%	36%	66%	66%	40%
Item 9:	Preserving Connections	74%	69%	77%	85%	80%
Item 10:	Relative Placement	83%	72%	77%	93%	83%
Item 11:	Relationship of Child in Care with Parents	32%	29%	53%	67%	35%
Item 12:	Needs and Services of Child, Parents, and Resource Caregivers	26%	15%	36%	49%	38%
Sub-Item 12a	Needs Assessment and Services to Children	55%	43%	71%	78%	73%
Sub-Item 12b	Needs Assessment and Services to Parents	22%	10%	35%	50%	34%
Sub-Item 12c	Needs Assessment and Services to Resource Caregivers	57%	66%	83%	78%	85%
Item 13:	Child and Family Involvement in Case Planning	34%	14%	38%	58%	40%
Item 14:	Caseworker Visits With Child	44%	24%	49%	67%	55%
Item 15:	Caseworker Visits With Parent(s)	17%	11%	32%	49%	35%
Item 16:	Educational Needs of the Child	66%	54%	77%	86%	80%
Item 17:	Physical Health of the Child	62%	48%	72%	83%	78%
Item 18:	Mental/Behavioral Health of the Child	47%	42%	71%	71%	72%

# FIGURE C1: ITEM 1: TIMELINESS OF INITIATING INVESTIGATIONS OF REPORTS OF CHILD MALTREATMENT




#### Figure C1:

Item 1: Timeliness of Initiating
Investigations of Reports of Child
Maltreatment (Safety Outcome 1)

Purpose of Assessment: To determine whether responses to all accepted child maltreatment reports received during the period under review were initiated, and face-to-face contact with child(ren) made, within the time frames established by agency policies of state statutes.

Data Source: HCWCQL

# FIGURE C2: ITEM 2: SERVICES TO FAMILY TO PROTECT CHILD(REN) IN THE HOME AND PREVENT REMOVAL OR RE-ENTRY INTO FOSTER CARE



### Figure C2:

Item 2: Services to Family to Protect Child(ren) in the Home and Prevent Removal or Re-Entry Into Foster Care (Safety Outcome 2)

Purpose of Assessment: To determine whether, during the period under review, the agency made concerted efforts to provide services to the family to prevent children's entry into foster care or reentry after reunification.

FIGURE C3: ITEM 3: RISK ASSESSMENT AND SAFETY MANAGEMENT



FIGURE C4: ITEM 4: STABILITY OF FOSTER CARE PLACEMENT



#### Figure C3:

Item 3: Risk and Safety Assessment and Management (Safety Outcome 2)

Purpose of Assessment: To determine whether, during the period under review, the agency made concerted efforts to assess and address the risk and safety concern relating to the child(ren) in their own homes while in foster care.

Data Source: HCWCQL

# Figure C4:

Item 4: Stability of Foster Care
Placement (Permanency Outcome 1)

Purpose of Assessment: To determine whether the child in foster care is in a stable placement at the time of the onsite review and that any changes in placement that occurred during the period under review were in the best interest of the child and consistent with achieving the child's permanency goal(s).

FIGURE C5: ITEM 5: PERMANENCY GOAL FOR CHILD



#### Figure C5:

Item 5: Permanency Goal for Child (Permanency Outcome 1)

Purpose of Assessment: To determine whether appropriate permanency goals were established for the child in a timely manner.

Data Source: HCWCQL

### FIGURE C6: ITEM 6: ACHIEVING REUNIFICATION, GUARDIANSHIP, ADOPTION, OR ANOTHER PLANNED LIVING ARRANGEMENT



#### Figure C6:


Item 6: Achieving Reunification, Guardianship, Adoption, or Another Planned Living Arrangement (Permanency Outcome 1)

Purpose of Assessment: To determine whether concerted efforts were made, or being made, during the period under review to achieve reunification, guardianship, adoption, or another planned permanent living arrangement.

#### FIGURE C7: ITEM 7: PLACEMENT WITH SIBLINGS



### FIGURE C8: ITEM 8: VISITING WITH PARENTS AND SIBLINGS IN FOSTER CARE



#### Figure C7:

Item 7: Placement With Siblings (Permanency Outcome 2)

Purpose of Assessment: To determine whether, during the period under review, concerted efforts were made to ensure that siblings in foster care are placed together unless a separation was necessary to meet the needs of one of the siblings.

Data Source: HCWCQI

#### Figure C8:


Item 8: Visiting With Parents and Siblings in Foster Care (Permanency Outcome 2)

Purpose of Assessment: To determine whether, during the period under review, concerted efforts were made to ensure that visitations between a child in foster care and his or her mother, father, and siblings is of sufficient frequency and quality to promote continuity in the child's relationship with these close family members.

#### FIGURE C9: ITEM 9: PRESERVING CONNECTIONS



#### FIGURE C10: ITEM 10: RELATIVE PLACEMENT



#### Figure C9:

Item 9: Preserving Connections (Permanency Outcome 2)

Purpose of Assessment: To determine whether, during the period under review, concerted efforts were made to maintain the child's connections to his or her neighborhood, community, faith, extended family, kin, Tribe, school, and friends.

Data Source: HCWCQI

# Figure C10:

Item 10: Relative Placement (Permanency Outcome 2)

Purpose of Assessment: To determine whether, during the period under review, concerted efforts were made to place with child with relatives when appropriate.

FIGURE C11: ITEM 11: RELATIONSHIP OF CHILD IN CARE WITH PARENTS



FIGURE C12: ITEM 12: NEEDS AND SERVICES OF CHILD, PARENTS, AND RESOURCE CAREGIVERS



#### Figure C11:

Item 11: Relationship of Child in Care With Parents (Permanency Outcome 2)

Purpose of Assessment: To determine whether, during the period under review, concerted efforts were made to promote, support, and/or maintain positive relationships between the child in foster care and his or her mother and father or other primary caregiver(s) from whom the child had been removed through activities other than just arranging for visitation.

Data Source: HCWCQL

#### Figure C12:

Item 12: Needs and Services of Child, Parents, and Resource Caregivers (Well-Being Outcome 1)

Purpose of Assessment: To determine whether, during the period under review, the agency made concerted efforts to (1) assess the needs of children, parents, and resource caregivers (both initially, if the child entered foster care or the case was opened during the PUR, and on an ongoing basis) to identify the services necessary to achieve case goals and adequately address the issues relevant to the agency's involvement with the family, and (2) provide the appropriate services.

FIGURE C12A: SUB-ITEM 12A: NEEDS ASSESSMENT AND SERVICES TO CHILDREN




FIGURE C12B: SUB-ITEM 12B: NEEDS ASSESSMENT AND SERVICES TO PARENTS



#### Figure C12A:

Sub-Item 12A: Needs Assessment and Services to Children (Well-Being Outcome 1)

Purpose of Assessment: To determine whether, during the period under review, the agency made concerted efforts to assess the needs of children (both initially, if the child entered foster care or the case was opened during the PUR, and on an ongoing basis) to identify the services necessary to achieve case goals and adequately address the issues relevant to the agency's involvement with the family, and (2) provide the appropriate services.

Data Source: HCWCQI

#### Figure C12B:


Sub-Item 12B: Needs Assessment and Services to Parents (Well-Being Outcome 1)

Purpose of Assessment: To determine whether, during the period under review, the agency made concerted efforts to assess the needs of parents (both initially, if the child entered foster care or the case was opened during the PUR, and on an ongoing basis) to identify the services necessary to achieve case goals and adequately address the issues relevant to the agency's involvement with the family, and (2) provide the appropriate services.

FIGURE C12C: SUB-ITEM 12C: NEEDS ASSESSMENT AND SERVICES TO RESOURCE CAREGIVERS



FIGURE C13: ITEM 13: CHILD AND FAMILY INVOLVEMENT IN CASE PLANNING



#### Figure C12C:

Sub-Item 12C: Needs Assessment and Services to Resource Caregivers (Well-Being Outcome 1)

Purpose of Assessment: To determine whether, during the period under review, the agency made concerted efforts to assess the needs of resource caregivers s (both initially, if the child entered foster care or the case was opened during the PUR, and on an ongoing basis) to identify the services necessary to achieve case goals and adequately address the issues relevant to the agency's involvement with the family, and (2) provide the appropriate services.

Data Source: HCWCQI

# Figure C13:

Item 13: Child and Family Involvement in Case Planning (Well-Being Outcome 1)

Purpose of Assessment: To determine whether, during the period under review, concerted efforts were made (or are being made) to involve parents and children (if developmentally appropriate) in the case planning process on an ongoing basis.

FIGURE C14: ITEM 14: CASEWORKER VISITS WITH CHILD



FIGURE C15: ITEM 15: CASEWORKER VISITS WITH PARENT(S)



#### Figure C14:

Item 14: Caseworker Visits With Child (Well-Being Outcome 1)

Purpose of Assessment: To determine whether the frequency and quality of visits between caseworkers and the child(ren) in the case are sufficient to ensure the safety, permanency, and well-being of the child(ren) and promote achievement of case goals.

Data Source: HCWCQL

### Figure C15:


Item 15: Caseworker Visits With Parents (Well-Being Outcome 1)

Purpose of Assessment: To determine whether, during the period under review, the frequency and quality of visits between caseworkers and the mothers and fathers of the child(ren) are sufficient to ensure the safety, permanency, and well-being of the child(ren) and promote achievement of case goals.

FIGURE C16: ITEM 16: FDUCATIONAL NEEDS OF THE CHILD



FIGURE C17: ITEM 17: PHYSICAL HEALTH OF THE CHILD



#### Figure C16:

Item 16: Educational Needs of the Child (Well-Being Outcome 2)

Purpose of Assessment: To assess whether, during the period under review, the agency made concerted efforts to assess children's educational needs at the initial contact with the child (if the case was opened during the PUR) or on an ongoing basis (if the case was opened before the PUR), and whether identified needs were appropriately addressed in case planning and case management activities.

Data Source: HCWCQL

#### Figure C17:

Item 17: Physical Health of the Child (Well-Being Outcome 3)

Purpose of Assessment: To determine whether, during the period under review, the agency addressed the physical health needs of the children, including dental health needs.

# FIGURE C18: ITEM 18: MENTAL/BEHAVIORAL HEALTH OF THE CHILD



# Figure C18:

Item 18: Mental/Behavioral Health of the Child (Well-Being Outcome 3)

Purpose of Assessment: To determine whether, during the period under review, the agency addressed the mental/behavioral health needs of the children, including dental health needs.

# **GLOSSARY**

ACRONYM	DEFINITION
ACF	Administration for Children and Families (federal)
AFCARS	Adoption and Foster Care Analysis and Reporting System (federal)
CAN	Child Abuse and/or Neglect
CFH	Certified Foster Home (also known as general licensed resource home)
CPSS	Child Protective Services System [client management database]
CWS	Child Welfare Services
CWSB	Child Welfare Services Branch
DHS	Department of Human Services
DRS	Differential Response System
EXT-AAP	Extended Adoption Assistance Payments (extended beyond age 18)
EXT-GAP	Extended Guardianship Assistance Payments (extended beyond age 18)
FFH	Family First Hawaii
FFY	Federal Fiscal year
FMO	Financial Management Office
FS	Family Supervision (court ordered)
FSS	Family Strengthening Services (part of Hawaii's differential response system)
HCWCQI	Hawaii Child Welfare Continuous Quality Improvement Project
HFA	Healthy Families America
IK	Imua Kākou (voluntary care until age 21)
NYTD	National Youth in Transition Database
PAT	Parent as Teachers
PD	Program Development
POS	Purchase of Service
RCG	Resource Caregiver (formerly known as foster parent)
SFY	State Fiscal Year (Hawaii)
SHAKA	State of Hawaii Automated Keiki Assistance (online client database)
SLH	Special-Licensed Resource Home (non-relative)
SLR	Special-Licensed Relative Resource Home
SSD	Social Services Division
TS	Temporary Family Supervision (court ordered)
VCM	Voluntary Case Management (part of Hawaii's differential response system)
VS	Voluntary Family Supervision
YA	Young Adult